

EXHIBIT 1



US006818705B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Wu et al.

(10) Patent No.: **US 6,818,705 B2**
(45) Date of Patent: **Nov. 16, 2004**

(54) **THIN-LAYER-COVERED GOLF BALL WITH IMPROVED VELOCITY**

(75) Inventors: **Shenshen Wu**, North Dartmouth, MA (US); **Edmund A. Hebert**, Fairhaven, MA (US); **Laurent Bissonnette**, Portsmouth, RI (US); **David A. Bulpitt**, Boston, MA (US); **Murali Rajagopalan**, South Dartmouth, MA (US); **Peter Voorheis**, Fall River, MA (US); **Mark N. Wrigley**, New Bedford, MA (US)

(73) Assignee: **Acushnet Company**, Fairhaven, MA (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 90 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **10/256,011**

(22) Filed: **Sep. 27, 2002**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2003/0096915 A1 May 22, 2003

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. 09/721,740, filed on Nov. 27, 2000, now Pat. No. 6,486,261, which is a continuation-in-part of application No. 09/461,736, filed on Dec. 16, 1999, now Pat. No. 6,465,578, and a continuation-in-part of application No. 09/311,591, filed on May 14, 1999, now Pat. No. 6,210,294, and a continuation-in-part of application No. 09/274,015, filed on Mar. 22, 1999.

(60) Provisional application No. 60/113,949, filed on Dec. 24, 1998.

(51) Int. Cl.⁷ **A63B 37/12; A63B 37/06**

(52) U.S. Cl. **525/261; 525/274; 473/373; 473/374; 473/377**

(58) Field of Search **525/261, 274; 473/373, 374, 377**

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,147,324 A	9/1964	Ward	264/254
3,177,280 A	4/1965	Ford et al.	264/275
3,239,228 A	3/1966	Crompton	273/218
3,262,272 A	7/1966	Barakauskas et al.	60/39.05
3,572,721 A	3/1971	Harrison et al.	372/218
3,572,722 A	3/1971	Harrison et al.	273/218
3,616,101 A	10/1971	Satchell et al.	161/7
3,666,272 A	5/1972	Walker et al.	273/218
3,804,421 A	4/1974	Alex et al.	273/218
3,808,077 A	4/1974	Reiser et al.	156/102
3,926,933 A	12/1975	Naylor	260/94.3
3,965,055 A	6/1976	Shichman et al.	260/3
3,989,568 A	11/1976	Isaac	156/182
3,992,014 A	11/1976	Retford	273/218
4,033,900 A	7/1977	Hargis et al.	252/431 L
4,062,825 A	12/1977	Watabe et al.	260/32 N
4,123,061 A	10/1978	Desbiber	273/220
4,144,223 A	3/1979	Kent	260/42.47
4,203,941 A	5/1980	Brooker	264/250

4,274,637 A	6/1981	Molitor	273/235 R
4,310,582 A	1/1982	Stumpe, Jr.	428/182
4,431,193 A	2/1984	Nesbitt	273/235
4,499,239 A	2/1985	Murakami et al.	525/111
4,625,964 A	12/1986	Yamada	273/62
4,650,193 A	3/1987	Molitor et al.	273/228
4,692,497 A	9/1987	Gendreau et al.	525/263
4,714,253 A	12/1987	Nakahara et al.	473/378
4,848,770 A	7/1989	Shama	273/228
4,852,884 A	8/1989	Sullivan	273/230
4,858,924 A	8/1989	Saito et al.	273/62
4,914,152 A	4/1990	Miyashita et al.	525/68
4,919,434 A	4/1990	Saito	273/235 R
4,931,376 A	6/1990	Ikematsu et al.	526/164
4,959,000 A	9/1990	Giza	425/116
4,968,752 A	11/1990	Kawamoto et al.	525/194
4,971,329 A	11/1990	Llort et al.	273/218
4,984,803 A	1/1991	Llort et al.	273/235 R
5,002,281 A	3/1991	Nakahara et al.	273/220
5,006,288 A	4/1991	Rhodes et al.	264/46.6
5,006,297 A	4/1991	Brown et al.	264/234
5,017,636 A	5/1991	Hattori et al.	524/300
5,025,059 A	6/1991	Mouri et al.	524/495
5,037,104 A	8/1991	Watanabe et al.	273/35 R
5,072,944 A	12/1991	Nakahara et al.	273/220
5,112,556 A	5/1992	Miller	264/279
5,131,662 A	7/1992	Pollitt	273/230
5,141,233 A	8/1992	Yuki et al.	273/218
5,150,905 A	9/1992	Yuki et al.	273/218
5,184,828 A	2/1993	Kim et al.	273/228
5,252,652 A	10/1993	Egashira et al.	524/392
5,253,871 A	10/1993	Viollaz	273/228
5,314,187 A	5/1994	Proudfit	273/235 R
5,334,673 A	8/1994	Wu	273/235
5,415,937 A	5/1995	Cadornica et al.	428/407
5,421,580 A	6/1995	Sugimoto et al.	273/227
5,461,109 A	10/1995	Blair et al.	524/839
5,482,287 A	1/1996	Nesbitt et al.	273/232
5,484,870 A	1/1996	Wu	528/28
5,494,958 A	2/1996	Freeman et al.	524/505
5,496,496 A	3/1996	Kajita et al.	252/182.24
5,543,467 A	8/1996	Hamada et al.	525/207
5,553,852 A	9/1996	Higuchi et al.	473/373

(List continued on next page.)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

AU	A-16547/97	2/1997
AU	A-16548/97	2/1997
AU	703884	3/1997
EP	0 577 058 A1	1/1994
EP	0 903 357 A1	3/1999
GB	1 168 609	10/1969
GB	1 209 032	10/1970
GB	2 321 021 A	3/1997

Primary Examiner—David J. Buttner

(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLP

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A golf ball comprising a center comprising a polybutadiene having a molecular weight of greater than 200,000 and a resilience index of at least about 40; and a cover layer comprising a polyurethane composition formed from a pre-polymer having no greater than 7.5 percent by weight unreacted isocyanate groups.

US 6,818,705 B2

Page 2

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,565,524 A	10/1996	Hamada et al.	525/208	5,859,153 A	1/1999	Kirk et al.	525/481
5,574,107 A	11/1996	Hiraoka et al.	525/193	5,861,465 A	1/1999	Hamada et al.	525/332.6
5,575,472 A	11/1996	Magerman et al.	29/530	5,885,172 A	3/1999	Hebert et al.	473/354
5,585,440 A	12/1996	Yamada et al.	525/193	5,885,173 A	3/1999	Keller	473/385
5,586,950 A	12/1996	Endo	473/378	5,888,437 A	3/1999	Calabria et al.	264/135
5,587,420 A	12/1996	Takizawa et al.	524/572	5,899,822 A	5/1999	Yamagishi et al.	473/374
5,589,546 A	12/1996	Hiraoka et al.	525/193	5,902,855 A	5/1999	Sullivan	525/221
5,609,535 A	3/1997	Morgan	473/409	5,908,358 A	6/1999	Wu	473/378
5,625,003 A	4/1997	Kato et al.	525/208	5,919,101 A	7/1999	Yokota et al.	473/374
5,663,235 A	9/1997	Tanaka	525/201	5,929,171 A	7/1999	Sano et al.	525/261
5,668,191 A	9/1997	Kinkelaar et al.	473/373	5,948,862 A	9/1999	Sano et al.	525/89
5,692,974 A	12/1997	Wu et al.	473/377	5,959,059 A	9/1999	Vedula et al.	528/76
5,697,856 A	12/1997	Moriyama et al.	473/374	5,971,870 A	10/1999	Sullivan et al.	473/373
5,704,852 A	1/1998	Kato et al.	473/357	5,980,396 A *	11/1999	Moriyama et al.	473/376
5,716,293 A	2/1998	Yabuki et al.	473/363	5,989,136 A	11/1999	Renard et al.	473/376
5,728,011 A	3/1998	Sugimoto et al.	473/357	5,994,470 A	11/1999	Tanaka	525/183
5,733,428 A	3/1998	Calabria et al.	264/134	6,004,226 A	12/1999	Asakura	473/373
5,744,549 A	4/1998	Lutz	525/129	6,012,997 A	1/2000	Mason	473/594
5,759,676 A	6/1998	Cavallaro	428/215	6,057,403 A	5/2000	Sullivan et al.	525/221
5,776,013 A	7/1998	Yokota	473/377	6,117,024 A	9/2000	Dewanjee	473/351
5,779,561 A	7/1998	Sullivan et al.	473/373	6,117,025 A	9/2000	Sullivan	473/373
5,779,562 A	7/1998	Melvin et al.	473/373	6,130,295 A	10/2000	Yokota	525/221
5,803,831 A	9/1998	Sullivan et al.	473/374	6,190,268 B1	2/2001	Dewanjee	473/370
5,810,678 A	9/1998	Cavallaro	473/373	6,210,293 B1 *	4/2001	Sullivan	473/374
5,813,923 A	9/1998	Cavallaro	473/373	6,277,920 B1 *	8/2001	Nesbitt	525/274
5,816,944 A	10/1998	Asakura et al.	473/372	6,290,611 B1	9/2001	Rajagopalan et al.	473/371
5,827,134 A	10/1998	Sullivan et al.	473/372	6,309,313 B1	10/2001	Peter	473/378
5,833,553 A	11/1998	Sullivan et al.	473/374	6,315,684 B1	11/2001	Binette et al.	473/377
5,840,801 A	11/1998	Gardiner	525/54.44	6,486,261 B1 *	11/2002	Wu et al.	525/332.6
5,856,388 A	1/1999	Harris et al.	524/320	6,489,401 B2 *	12/2002	Endo	525/193

* cited by examiner

U.S. Patent

Nov. 16, 2004

US 6,818,705 B2




Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3

US 6,818,705 B2

1

**THIN-LAYER-COVERED GOLF BALL WITH
IMPROVED VELOCITY****CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED
APPLICATIONS**

This application is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 09/721,740, filed Nov. 27, 2000, now U.S. Pat. No. 6,486,261, which is a continuation-in-part of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 09/461,736, filed Dec. 16, 1999, now U.S. Pat. No. 6,465,578, which claims the benefit of U.S. Patent Provisional Application No. 60/113,949, filed Dec. 24, 1998, and is a continuation-in-part of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 09/311,591, filed May 14, 1999, now U.S. Pat. No. 6,210,294, and also a continuation-in-part of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 09/274,015, filed Mar. 22, 1999.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The invention relates generally to golf balls and, more specifically, to golf balls with covers formed of a polymer blend comprising a polyurethane composition and cores formed of a polybutadiene composition. The polyurethane composition comprises a prepolymer of a polyisocyanate and a polyol, and a diamine curing agent. The polybutadiene composition comprises a butadiene polymer with a resilience index greater than about 40 and a molecular weight greater than about 200,000. The golf balls of the present invention have been found to provide improved velocity.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Conventional golf balls can be divided into several general classes: (a) solid golf balls having one or more layers, and (b) wound golf balls. Solid golf balls include one-piece balls, which are easy to construct and relatively inexpensive, but have poor playing characteristics and are thus generally limited for use as range balls. Two-piece balls are constructed with a generally solid core and a cover and are generally the most popular with recreational golfers because they are very durable and provide maximum distance. Balls having a two-piece construction are commonly formed of a polymeric core encased by a cover. Typically, the core is formed from polybutadiene that is chemically crosslinked with zinc diacrylate and/or other similar crosslinking agents. These balls are generally easy to manufacture, but are regarded as having limited playing characteristics. Solid golf balls also include multi-layer golf balls that are comprised of a solid core of one or more layers and/or a cover of one or more layers. These balls are regarded as having an extended range of playing characteristics.

Wound golf balls are generally preferred by many players due to their high spin and soft "feel" characteristics. Wound golf balls typically include a solid, hollow, or fluid-filled center, surrounded by a tensioned elastomeric material and a cover. Wound balls generally are more difficult and expensive to manufacture than solid two-piece balls.

A variety of golf balls have been designed by manufacturers to provide a wide range of playing characteristics, such as compression, velocity, "feel," and spin. These characteristics can be optimized for various playing abilities. One of the most common components that manufacturers have addresses for optimizing and/or altering the playing characteristics of golf balls, is the polymer components present in modern golf ball construction, in particular, golf ball centers and/or core. In addition to ionomers, one of the most common polymers employed is polybutadiene and, more specifically, polybutadiene having a high cis-isomer concentration.

2

The use of a polybutadiene having a high cis-concentration results in a very resilient and rigid golf ball, especially when coupled with a hard cover material. These highly resilient golf balls have a relatively hard "feel" when struck by a club. Soft "feel" golf balls constructed with a high cis-polybutadiene have low resilience. In an effort to provide improved golf balls, various other polybutadiene formulations have been prepared, as discussed below.

U.S. Pat. No. 3,239,228 discloses a solid golf ball having a core molded of polybutadiene rubber with a high sulfur content, and a cover. The polybutadiene content of the core is stereo-controlled to the configuration 25-100 percent cis- and 0-65 percent trans-1,4-polybutadiene, with any remainder having a vinyl configuration of polybutadiene. A preferred embodiment of the polybutadiene golf ball core contains 35 percent cis-, 52 percent trans-, and 13 percent vinyl-polybutadiene. The level of trans- and vinyl-content are disclosed to be unimportant to the overall playing characteristics of the polymer blend.

British Patent No. 1,168,609 discloses a molding composition from which improved golf ball cores can be molded and which contains cis-polybutadiene as a basic polymer component. The core polymer component typically includes at least 60 percent cis-polybutadiene, with the remainder being either the trans- or vinyl-forms of polybutadiene. In a preferred embodiment, the core polybutadiene component contains 90 percent cis-configuration, with the remaining 10 percent being either the trans- or vinyl-configurations of 1,4-polybutadiene.

U.S. Pat. Nos. 3,572,721 and 3,572,722 disclose a solid, one- or two-piece golf ball, with the two-piece ball having a core and a cover. The cover material can include any one of a number of materials, or blends thereof, known to those of ordinary skill in the art, including trans-polybutadiene which may be present in an amount from at least 90 percent, with the remainder being the cis- and/or vinyl configuration.

British Patent No. 1,209,032 discloses a two- or three-piece golf ball having a core and a cover. The core or cover material can be any material capable of being crosslinked. In particular, the material can be a polymer or a copolymer of butadiene or isoprene. Preferably, the polymer component is polybutadiene having a cis content of greater than 50 percent by weight.

U.S. Pat. No. 3,992,014 discloses a one-piece, solid golf ball. The golf ball material is typically polybutadiene, with a stereo-configuration selected to be at least 60 percent cis-polybutadiene, with the remaining 40 percent being the trans-polybutadiene and/or 1,2-polybutadiene (vinyl) isomers.

U.S. Pat. No. 4,692,497 discloses a golf ball and material thereof formed by curing a diene polymer including polybutadiene and a metal salt of an alpha, beta ethylenically unsaturated acid using at least two free radical initiators.

U.S. Pat. No. 4,931,376 discloses a process for producing butadiene polymers for use in various applications, including golf ball cover materials. One embodiment of the invention employs a blended polymeric resin material, including at least 30 percent by weight of a trans-polybutadiene polymer as a golf ball cover on a two-piece ball. In a preferred embodiment, the golf ball cover material contains a blend including 30 to 90 percent by weight of a trans-polybutadiene polymer.

U.S. Pat. No. 4,971,329 discloses a solid golf ball made from a polybutadiene admixture of cis-1,4 polybutadiene and 1,2 polybutadiene, a metal salt of an unsaturated carboxylic acid, an inorganic filler, and a free radical initiator.

US 6,818,705 B2

3

The admixture has about 99.5 percent to about 95 percent by weight of cis-1,4 polybutadiene and about 0.5 percent to about 5 percent by weight of 1,2 polybutadiene.

U.S. Pat. No. 5,252,652 discloses a one-piece or multi-layered golf ball core with improved flying performance from a rubber composition comprising a base rubber, preferably 1,4-polybutadiene with a cis-content of at least 40 mole percent, an unsaturated carboxylic acid metal salt, an organic peroxide, and an organic sulfur compound and/or a metal salt thereof. The organic sulfur compound and/or a metal salt is typically present in an amount from about 0.05 to 2 parts per hundred by weight and the organic peroxide is typically present in an amount from about 0.5 to 3 parts per hundred by weight of the total polymer component.

European Patent No. 0 577 058 discloses a golf ball containing a core and a cover that is formed as two separate layers. The inner layer of the cover is molded over the core and is formed from ionomer resin. The outer layer of the cover is molded over the inner layer and is formed from a blend of natural or synthetic balata and a crosslinkable elastomer, such as polybutadiene. In one embodiment of the outer layer of the cover, the elastomer is 1,4-polybutadiene having a cis-structure of at least 40 percent, with the remaining 60 percent being the trans-isomer. A preferred embodiment contains a cis-structure of at least 90 percent and more preferably, a cis-structure of at least 95 percent.

U.S. Pat. No. 5,421,580 discloses a wound golf ball having a liquid center contained in a center bag, a rubber thread layer formed on the liquid center, and a cover over the wound layer and liquid center. The cover material can include any one of a number of materials, or blends thereof, known to those of ordinary skill in the art, including trans-polybutadiene and/or 1,2-polybutadiene (vinyl), such that the cover has a JIS-C hardness of 70-85; preferred trans-percentages are not disclosed.

U.S. Pat. No. 5,697,856 discloses a solid golf ball having a core and a cover wherein the core is produced by vulcanizing a base rubber composition containing a butadiene rubber having a cis-polybutadiene structure content of not less than 90 percent before vulcanization. The amount of trans-polybutadiene structure present after vulcanization is 10 to 30 percent, as amounts over 30 percent are alleged to detrimentally result in cores that are too soft with deteriorated resilience performance, and to cause a decrease in golf ball performance. The core includes a vulcanizing agent, a filler, an organic peroxide, and an organosulfur compound.

British Patent No. 2,321,021 discloses a solid golf ball having a core and a cover formed on the core and having a two-layered cover construction having an inner cover layer and an outer cover layer. The outer cover layer is comprised of a rubber composite that contains 0.05 to 5 parts by weight of an organic sulfide compound. The core rubber composition comprises a base rubber, preferably 1,4-polybutadiene having a cis-content of at least 40 percent by weight, a crosslinking agent, a co-crosslinking agent, an organic sulfide, and a filler. The crosslinking agent is typically an organic peroxide present in an amount from 0.3 to 5.0 parts by weight and the co-crosslinking agent is typically a metal salt of an unsaturated fatty acid present in an amount from 10 to 40 parts by weight. The organic sulfide compound is typically present from 0.05 to 5 parts by weight.

U.S. Pat. No. 5,816,944 discloses a solid golf ball having a core and a cover wherein the core has a JIS-C hardness of 50 to 80 and the cover has a Shore-D hardness of 50 to 60. The core material includes vulcanized rubber, such as cis-polybutadiene, with a crosslinker, an organic peroxide, an

4

organosulfur compound and/or a metal-containing organosulfur compound, and a filler.

Additionally, conventional polymers that have a high percentage of the trans-polybutadiene conformation, such as DIENE 35NF, from Firestone Corp., that has 40 percent cis-isomer and 50 percent trans-polybutadiene isomer, and mixtures of high-cis- and high-trans-polybutadiene isomers, such as CARIFLEX BR1220, from Shell Corporation, and FUREN 88, from Asahi Chemical Co., respectively, typically do not yield high resilience values and therefore are not desirable.

In addition to changing center or core ingredients to affect golf ball performance characteristics, a number of patents have issued that are directed towards modifying the properties of layers and covers used in forming a variety of golf balls, such as wound balls, conventional solid balls, multi-layer balls having dual cover layers, dual core layers, and/or balls having a mantle layer disposed between the cover and the core. The most common polymers used by manufacturers in golf ball layers and covers have been ionomers, such as SURLYN, commercially available from E. I. DuPont de Nemours and Co., of Wilmington, Del. Recently, however, manufacturers have investigated the use of alternative polymers, such as polyurethane. For example, U.S. Pat. No. 3,147,324 is directed to a method of making a golf ball having a polyurethane cover.

Polyurethanes have been recognized as useful materials for golf ball covers since about 1960. Polyurethane is the product of a reaction between a polyurethane prepolymer and a curing agent. The polyurethane prepolymer is a product formed by a reaction between a polyol and a diisocyanate. The curing agents used previously are typically diamines or glycols. A catalyst is often employed to promote the reaction between the curing agent and the polyurethane prepolymer.

Since 1960, various companies have investigated the usefulness of polyurethane as a golf ball cover material. U.S. Pat. No. 4,123,061 teaches a golf ball made from a polyurethane prepolymer of polyether and a curing agent, such as a trifunctional polyol, a tetrafunctional polyol, or a diamine. U.S. Pat. No. 5,334,673 discloses the use of two categories of polyurethane available on the market, i.e., thermoset and thermoplastic polyurethanes, for forming golf ball covers and, in particular, thermoset polyurethane covered golf balls made from a composition of polyurethane prepolymer and a slow-reacting amine curing agent, and/or a difunctional glycol. The first commercially successful polyurethane covered golf ball was the Titleist® Professional ball, first released in 1993.

Unlike SURLYN® or ionomer-covered golf balls, polyurethane golf ball covers can be formulated to possess the soft "feel" of balata covered golf balls. However, golf ball covers made from polyurethane have not, to date, fully matched SURLYN®-covered golf balls with respect to resilience or the rebound that is a function of the initial velocity of a golf ball after impact with a golf club.

U.S. Pat. No. 3,989,568 discloses a three-component system employing either one or two polyurethane prepolymers and one or two polyols or fast-reacting diamine curing agents. The reactants chosen for the system must have different rates of reactions within two or more competing reactions.

U.S. Pat. No. 4,123,061 discloses a golf ball made from a polyurethane prepolymer of polyether and a curing agent, such as a trifunctional polyol, a tetrafunctional polyol, or a fast-reacting diamine curing agent.

US 6,818,705 B2

5

U.S. Pat. No. 5,334,673 discloses a golf ball cover made from a composition of a polyurethane prepolymer and a slow-reacting polyamine curing agent and/or a difunctional glycol. Resultant golf balls are found to have improved shear resistance and cut resistance compared to covers made from balata or SURLYN®.

U.S. Pat. No. 5,692,974 discloses methods of using cationic ionomers in golf ball cover compositions. Additionally, the patent relates to golf balls having covers and cores incorporating urethane ionomers. Improved resiliency and initial velocity are achieved by the addition of an alkylating agent such as t-butyl-chloride which induces ionic interactions in the polyurethane to produce cationic type ionomers.

International Patent Application WO 98/37929 discloses a composition for golf ball covers that comprises a blend of a diisocyanate/polyol prepolymer and a curing agent comprising a blend of a slow-reacting diamine and a fast-reacting diamine. Improved "feel", playability, and durability characteristics are exhibited.

Conventional polyurethane elastomers are known to have lower resiliency than SURLYN® and other ionomer resins. It has now been discovered that the use of a polyurethane composition, according to the present invention, in forming golf ball cores, intermediate and mantle layers, and/or covers, can raise the velocity of a golf ball prepared with the composition: (1) closer to the velocities observed with SURLYN®-covered golf balls; and (2) higher than the velocities exhibited using alternative urethane compositions. Additionally, it is desired to combine polyurethane cover compositions with polybutadiene core materials, especially those having resiliency indices greater than about 40. Cores formed of materials such as these have been found to provide exceptional resiliency characteristics without a loss in performance characteristics (i.e., decreased compression).

It is thus desired to prepare golf balls having lower compression, i.e., a softer ball, while having the same or higher resiliency than conventional balls. It is alternatively desired to obtain the same or lower compression while achieving greater resiliency.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is directed to a golf ball comprising a center comprising a polybutadiene having a molecular weight of greater than 200,000 and a resiliency index of at least about 40; and a cover layer comprising a polyurethane composition formed from a prepolymer having no greater than 7.5 percent by weight unreacted isocyanate groups. Preferably, the resiliency index is greater than about 50.

The prepolymer may include an isocyanate, at least one polyol, and at least one curing agent. Preferably, the isocyanate includes 4,4'-diphenylmethane diisocyanate, polymeric 4,4'-diphenylmethane diisocyanate, carbodiimide-modified liquid 4,4'-diphenylmethane diisocyanate, 4,4'-dicyclohexylmethane diisocyanate, p-phenylene diisocyanate, toluene diisocyanate, isophoronediiisocyanate, p-methylxylene diisocyanate, m-methylxylene diisocyanate, o-methylxylene diisocyanate, or a mixture thereof. The at least one polyol may include polyether polyols, hydroxy-terminated polybutadiene, polyester polyols, polycaprolactone polyols, polycarbonate polyols, and mixtures thereof. The curing agent may include a polyamine curing agent, a polyol curing agent, or a mixture thereof. It is preferred, however, that the curing agent is a polyamine curing agent.

If the polyamine is selected as the curing agent, the polyamine curing agent may include 3,5-dimethylthio-2,4-toluenediamine and isomers thereof; 3,5-diethyltoluene-2,4-

6

diamine and isomers thereof; 4,4'-bis-(sec-butylamino)-diphenylmethane; 1,4-bis-(sec-butylamino)-benzene, 4,4'-methylene-bis-(2-chloroaniline); 4,4'-methylene-bis-(3-chloro-2,6-diethylaniline); trimethylene glycol-di-p-aminobenzoate; polytetramethyleneoxide-di-p-aminobenzoate; N,N'-dialkyldiamino diphenyl methane; p, p'-methylene dianiline; phenylenediamine; 4,4'-methylene-bis-(2-chloroaniline); 4,4'-methylene-bis-(2,6-diethylaniline); 4,4'-diamino-3,3'-diethyl-5,5'-dimethyl diphenylmethane; 2,2',3,3'-tetrachloro diamino diphenylmethane; 4,4'-methylene-bis-(3-chloro-2,6-diethylaniline); and mixtures thereof.

In one embodiment, however, the curing agent is a polyol curing agent. If the curing agent is a polyol, preferably, the polyol curing agent includes ethylene glycol; diethylene glycol; polyethylene glycol; propylene glycol; polypropylene glycol; lower molecular weight polytetramethylene ether glycol; 1,3-bis(2-hydroxyethoxy) benzene; 1,3-bis-[2-(2-hydroxyethoxy)ethoxy]benzene; 1,3-bis-{2-[2-(2-hydroxyethoxy)ethoxy]ethoxy}benzene; 1,4-butanediol; 1,5-pentanediol; 1,6-hexanediol; resorcinol-hydroxyethyl ether; hydroquinone-di-(β-hydroxyethyl) ether; trimethylol propane, and mixtures thereof.

In a another embodiment, the prepolymer has between about 2.5 percent and about 7.5 percent by weight unreacted isocyanate groups. The cover layer preferably has a thickness of less than about 0.05 inches. Further, the center should have a Mooney viscosity of between about 40 and about 80 and, preferably, between about 45 and about 60. In a preferred embodiment, the polybutadiene has a vinyl-polybutadiene isomer content of less than about 2 percent by weight and the polybutadiene has a cis-isomer content of at least about 95 percent by weight.

The golf ball center outer diameter is preferably of no less than about 1.55 inches and, additionally, the center further includes a material formed from a conversion reaction of polybutadiene having a first amount of trans-polybutadiene, a free radical source, and at least one cis-to-trans catalyst. Preferably, the reaction occurs at a temperature sufficient to form a polybutadiene reaction product having an second amount of trans-polybutadiene greater than the first amount of trans-polybutadiene. The cis-to-trans catalyst may include at least one of a organosulfur component, an inorganic sulfur compound, an aromatic organometallic compound, a metal-organosulfur compound, tellurium, selenium, elemental sulfur, a polymeric sulfur, or an aromatic organic compound. The organosulfur component may include at least one of 4,4'-diphenyl disulfide, 4,4'-ditolyl disulfide, or 2,2'-benzamido diphenyl disulfide. Preferably, the cis-to-trans catalyst is present in an amount from about 0.1 to 10 parts per hundred of polybutadiene.

In another embodiment, the golf ball further includes an intermediate layer juxtaposed between the center and the cover layer, wherein the intermediate layer comprises a material formed from a conversion reaction of polybutadiene having a first amount of trans-polybutadiene, a free radical source, and a cis-to-trans catalyst comprising at least one organosulfur component, wherein the intermediate layer has an outer diameter of no less than about 1.58 inches, and wherein the center has an outer diameter of less than about 1.55 inches. In yet another embodiment, the cover layer comprises an inner cover layer and an outer cover layer, the inner cover layer juxtaposed the center and the outer cover layer. Preferably, at least one of the inner and outer cover layer has a thickness of less than about 0.05 inches.

If present, the inner cover layer is formed from at least one material selected from the group comprising of an ionomer

US 6,818,705 B2

7

resin, a polyurethane, a polyetherester, a polyetheramide, a polyester, a dynamically vulcanized elastomer, a functionalized styrenebutadiene elastomer, a metallocene polymer, nylon, acrylonitrile butadiene-styrene copolymer or blends thereof. In still another embodiment, the inner cover has an outer diameter of at least about 1.55 inches and, preferably, between about 1.58 and about 1.64 inches. In an additional embodiment, the polyurethane is a thermoplastic or thermoset material.

The present invention is also directed to a golf ball comprising a center comprising a polybutadiene having a molecular weight of greater than 300,000 and a resilience index of at least about 40; an outer core layer having an outer diameter of no less than about 1.51 inches; an inner cover layer surrounding the outer core layer; and an outer cover layer comprising of a polyurethane composition formed from a prepolymer having no greater than about 7.5 percent by weight unreacted isocyanate groups. Preferably, the resilience index is greater than about 50.

The prepolymer may include an isocyanate, at least one polyol, and at least one curing agent. Preferably, the isocyanate includes 4,4'-diphenylmethane diisocyanate, polymeric 4,4'-diphenylmethane diisocyanate, carbodiimide-modified liquid 4,4'-diphenylmethane diisocyanate, 4,4'-dicyclohexylmethane diisocyanate, p-phenylene diisocyanate, toluene diisocyanate, isophoronediiisocyanate, p-methylxylene diisocyanate, m-methylxylene diisocyanate, o-methylxylene diisocyanate, or a mixture thereof. The at least one polyol may include polyether polyols, hydroxy-terminated polybutadiene, polyester polyols, polycaprolactone polyols, polycarbonate polyols, and mixtures thereof. The curing agent may include a polyamine curing agent, a polyol curing agent, or a mixture thereof. It is preferred, however, that the curing agent is a polyamine curing agent.

If the polyamine is selected as the curing agent, the polyamine curing agent may include 3,5-dimethylthio-2,4-toluenediamine and isomers thereof; 3,5-diethyltoluene-2,4-diamine and isomers thereof; 4,4'-bis-(sec-butylamino)-diphenylmethane; 1,4-bis-(sec-butylamino)-benzene, 4,4'-methylene-bis-(2-chloroaniline); 4,4'-methylene-bis-(3-chloro-2,6-diethylaniline); trimethylene glycol-di-p-aminobenzoate; polytetramethyleneoxide-di-p-aminobenzoate; N,N'-dialkyldiamino diphenyl methane; p, p'-methylene dianiline; phenylenediamine; 4,4'-methylene-bis-(2-chloroaniline); 4,4'-methylene-bis-(2,6-diethylaniline); 4,4'-diamino-3,3'-diethyl-5,5'-dimethyl diphenylmethane; 2,2',3,3'-tetrachloro diamino diphenylmethane; 4,4'-methylene-bis-(3-chloro-2,6-diethylaniline); and mixtures thereof.

In one embodiment, however, the curing agent is a polyol curing agent. If the curing agent is a polyol, preferably, the polyol curing agent includes ethylene glycol; diethylene glycol; polyethylene glycol; propylene glycol; polypropylene glycol; lower molecular weight polytetramethylene ether glycol; 1,3-bis(2-hydroxyethoxy) benzene; 1,3-bis-[2-(2-hydroxyethoxy)ethoxy] benzene; 1,3-bis-[2-(2-hydroxyethoxy)ethoxy] ethoxy benzene; 1,4-butanediol; 1,5-pentanediol; 1,6-hexanediol; resorcinol-di-(-hydroxyethyl) ether; hydroquinone-di-(-hydroxyethyl) ether; trimethylol propane, and mixtures thereof.

In a another embodiment, the prepolymer has between about 2.5 percent and about 7.5 percent by weight unreacted isocyanate groups. At least one of the inner and outer cover layers preferably has a thickness of less than about 0.05 inches. Further, the center should have a Mooney viscosity of between about 40 and about 80. In a preferred

8

embodiment, the polybutadiene has a vinyl-polybutadiene isomer content of less than about 2 percent by weight and the polybutadiene has a cis-isomer content of at least about 95 percent by weight.

The golf ball center outer diameter is preferably of no less than about 1.55 inches and, additionally, the center further includes a material formed from a conversion reaction of polybutadiene having a first amount of trans-polybutadiene, a free radical source, and at least one cis-to-trans catalyst. Preferably, the reaction occurs at a temperature sufficient to form a polybutadiene reaction product having a second amount of trans-polybutadiene greater than the first amount of trans-polybutadiene. The cis-to-trans catalyst may include at least one of an organosulfur compound, an inorganic sulfur compound, an aromatic organometallic compound, a metal-organosulfur compound, tellurium, selenium, elemental sulfur, a polymeric sulfur, or an aromatic organic compound. The organosulfur component may include at least one of 4,4'-diphenyl disulfide, 4,4'-ditolyl disulfide, or 2,2'-benzamido diphenyl disulfide. Preferably, the cis-to-trans catalyst is present in an amount from about 0.1 to 10 parts per hundred of polybutadiene.

In one embodiment, the inner cover layer includes an ionomer resin, a polyurethane, a polyetherester, a polyetheramide, a polyester, a dynamically vulcanized elastomer, a functionalized styrenebutadiene elastomer, a metallocene polymer nylon, acrylonitrile butadiene-styrene copolymer or blends thereof. The inner cover may have an outer diameter of at least about 1.55 inches and, preferably, between about 1.58 and about 1.64 inches. In an additional embodiment, the polyurethane is a thermoplastic or thermoset material.

The present invention is also directed to a golf ball comprising a center formed of a cis-polybutadiene having a molecular weight of greater than 300,000 and a resilience index of at least about 40; an outer core layer having an outer diameter of no less than about 1.51 inches; an inner cover layer surrounding the outer core layer, the inner cover layer comprising a polyurethane; and an outer cover layer comprising an ionomer or an elastomeric material.

The present invention is also directed to a golf ball comprising a center comprising a polybutadiene having a molecular weight of greater than 300,000 and a resilience index of at least about 40; an outer core layer having an outer diameter of no less than about 1.51 inches; an inner cover layer surrounding the outer core layer; and an outer cover layer; wherein the inner and outer cover layers are formed of a polyurethane composition.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a cross-sectional view of a two-piece golf ball having a cover and a core according to the invention;

FIG. 2 is a cross-section of a golf ball having an intermediate layer between a cover and a center according to the invention; and

FIG. 3 is a cross-section of a golf ball having more than one intermediate layer between a cover and a center according to the invention.

DEFINITIONS

The term "about," as used herein in connection with one or more numbers or numerical ranges, should be understood to refer to all such numbers, including all numbers in a range.

As used herein, "cis-to-trans catalyst" means any component or a combination thereof that will convert at least a

US 6,818,705 B2

9

portion of cis-polybutadiene isomer to trans-polybutadiene isomer at a given temperature. It should be understood that the combination of the cis-isomer, the trans-isomer, and any vinyl-isomer, measured at any given time, comprises 100 percent of the polybutadiene.

As used herein, the term "active ingredients" is defined as the specific components of a mixture or blend that are essential to the chemical reaction.

As used herein, substituted and unsubstituted "aryl" groups means a hydrocarbon ring bearing a system of conjugated double bonds, typically comprising $4n+2\pi$ ring electrons, where n is an integer. Examples of aryl groups include, but are not limited to phenyl, naphthyl, anisyl, tolyl, xylenyl and the like. According to the present invention, aryl also includes heteroaryl groups, e.g., pyrimidine or thiophene. These aryl groups may also be substituted with any number of a variety of functional groups. In addition to the functional groups described herein in connection with carbocyclic groups, functional groups on the aryl groups can include hydroxy and metal salts thereof; mercapto and metal salts thereof; halogen; amino, nitro, cyano, and amido; carboxyl including esters, acids, and metal salts thereof; silyl; acrylates and metal salts thereof; sulfonyl or sulfonamide; and phosphates and phosphites; and a combination thereof.

As used herein, the term "Atti compression" is defined as the deflection of an object or material relative to the deflection of a calibrated spring, as measured with an Atti Compression Gauge, that is commercially available from Atti Engineering Corp. of Union City, N.J. Atti compression is typically used to measure the compression of a golf ball. When the Atti Gauge is used to measure cores having a diameter of less than 1.680 inches, it should be understood that a metallic or other suitable shim is used to make the measured object 1.680 inches in diameter. However, when referring to the compression of a core, it is preferred to use a compressive load measurement. The term "compressive load" is defined as the normalized load in pounds for a 10.8-percent diametrical deflection for a spherical object having a diameter of 1.58 inches.

As used herein, substituted and unsubstituted "carbocyclic" means cyclic carbon-containing compounds, including, but not limited to cyclopentyl, cyclohexyl, cycloheptyl, adamantyl, and the like. Such cyclic groups may also contain various substituents in which one or more hydrogen atoms has been replaced by a functional group. Such functional groups include those described above, and lower alkyl groups having from 1-28 carbon atoms. The cyclic groups of the invention may further comprise a heteroatom.

As used herein, the term "coefficient of restitution" for golf balls is defined as the ratio of the rebound velocity to the inbound velocity when balls are fired into a rigid plate. The inbound velocity is understood to be 125 ft/s.

As used herein, the term "dynamic stiffness" is defined as load divided by the deflection for a 1.4-mm spherical radius penetration probe oscillating at 1 Hz with an amplitude of 100 μ m. The probe dynamically penetrates the surface of a sample material. Material samples of spherical cores were prepared by sectioning out a 6-mm-thick layer along the equator of core to produce a disk 6 mm thick with one surface containing the geometric center of the core. By positioning the probe at any selected radial position on the disk, a dynamic stiffness measurement may be obtained. Accurate dynamic measurements may be made by keeping the material sample at a substantially uniform temperature. The dynamic stiffness was acquired using a Dynamic

10

Mechanical Analyzer, Model DMA 2980 available from TA Instruments Corporation of New Castle, Del. The instrument setting for the DMA 2980 were 1-Hz frequency, 100- μ m amplitude, 0.3-N static load, and auto strain of 105 percent. The 1.4-mm spherical radius probe is available from TA Instruments as a penetration kit accessory to the DMA 2980. The DMA 2980 is equipped with a temperature-controlled chamber that enables testing at a wide variety of ambient temperatures.

The method and instrument utilized for measuring "dynamic stiffness" may also be used to measure loss tangent (also commonly referred to as $\tan \delta$). Loss tangent is the ratio of loss modulus to storage modulus. Loss modulus is the portion of modulus which is out of phase with displacement and storage modulus is the portion of modulus which is in phase with displacement. The DMA 2980 automatically calculates and reports loss tangent.

As used herein, the terms "Group VIA component" or "Group VIA element" mean a component that includes a sulfur component, a selenium component, or a tellurium component, or a combination thereof.

As used herein, the term "sulfur component" means a component that is elemental sulfur, polymeric sulfur, or a combination thereof. It should be further understood that "elemental sulfur" refers to the ring structure of S_8 and that "polymeric sulfur" is a structure including at least one additional sulfur relative to the elemental sulfur.

As used herein, the term "fluid" includes a liquid, a paste, a gel, a gas, or any combination thereof.

As used herein, the term "molecular weight" is defined as the absolute weight average molecular weight. The molecular weight is determined by the following method: approximately 20 mg of polymer is dissolved in 10 mL of tetrahydrofuran ("THF"), which may take a few days at room temperature depending on the polymer's molecular weight and distribution. One liter of THF is filtered and degassed before being placed in a high-performance liquid chromatography ("HPLC") reservoir. The flow rate of the HPLC is set to 1 mL/min through a Viscogel column. This non-shedding, mixed bed, column model GMH_{HR}-H, which has an ID of 7.8 mm and 300 mm long is available from Viscotek Corp. of Houston, Tex. The THF flow rate is set to 1 mL/min for at least one hour before sample analysis is begun or until stable detector baselines are achieved. During this purging of the column and detector, the internal temperature of the Viscotek TDA Model 300 triple detector should be set to 40° C. This detector is also available from Viscotek Corp. The three detectors (i.e., Refractive Index, Differential Pressure, and Light Scattering) and the column should be brought to thermal equilibrium, and the detectors should be purged and zeroed, to prepare the system for calibration according to the instructions provided with this equipment. A 100- μ L aliquot of sample solution can then be injected into the equipment and the molecular weight of each sample can be calculated with the Viscotek's triple detector software. When the molecular weight of the polybutadiene material is measured, a dn/dc of 0.130 should always be used. It should be understood that this equipment and these methods provide the molecular weight numbers described and claimed herein, and that other equipment or methods will not necessarily provide equivalent values as used herein.

As used herein, the term "multilayer" means at least two layers and includes liquid center balls, wound balls, hollow-center balls, and balls with at least two intermediate layers and/or an inner or outer cover.

US 6,818,705 B2

11

As used herein, the term "parts per hundred," also known as "phr," is defined as the number of parts by weight of a particular component present in a mixture, relative to 100 parts by weight of the total polymer component. Mathematically, this can be expressed as the weight of an ingredient divided by the total weight of the polymer, multiplied by a factor of 100.

As used herein, the term "substantially free" means less than about 5 weight percent, preferably less than about 3 weight percent, more preferably less than about 1 weight percent, and most preferably less than about 0.01 weight percent.

As used herein the term "resilience index" is defined as the difference in loss tangent measured at 10 cpm and 1000 cpm divided by 990 (the frequency span) multiplied by 100,000 (for normalization and unit convenience). The loss tangent is measured using an RPA 2000 manufactured by Alpha Technologies of Akron, Ohio. The RPA 2000 is set to sweep from 2.5 to 1000 cpm at a temperature of 100° C. using an arc of 0.5 degrees. An average of six loss tangent measurements were acquired at each frequency and the average is used in calculation of the resilience index. The computation of resilience index is as follows:

$$\text{Resilience Index} = 100,000 \{ (\text{loss tangent@10 cpm}) - (\text{loss tangent@1000 cpm}) \} / 990$$

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Referring to FIG. 1, a golf ball 10 of the present invention can include a core 12, a cover 16, and optional inner cover layer 16a surrounding the core 12. Referring to FIG. 2, a golf ball 20 of the present invention can include a center 22, a cover 26, an inner cover layer 26a, and at least one intermediate layer 24 disposed between the cover and the center. Each of the cover and center may also include more than one layer; i.e., the golf ball can be a conventional three-piece wound ball, a two-piece ball, a ball having a multi-layer core or an intermediate layer or layers, etc. Thus, referring to FIG. 3, a golf ball 30 of the present invention can include a center 32, a cover 38, and intermediate layers 34 and 36 disposed between the cover and the center. Although FIG. 3 shows only two intermediate layers, it will be appreciated that any number or type of intermediate layers may be used, as desired.

The present invention relates to two piece golf balls having a core and a cover, or multilayer golf balls having a solid, hollow, or fluid-filled center, a cover, and at least one intermediate layer disposed concentrically adjacent to the center. At least one of the center or optional intermediate layer includes a reaction product that includes a cis-to-trans catalyst, a resilient polymer component having polybutadiene, a free radical source, and optionally, a crosslinking agent, a filler, or both. Preferably, the reaction product has a first dynamic stiffness measured at -50° C. that is less than about 130 percent of a second dynamic stiffness measured at 0° C. More preferably, the first dynamic stiffness is less than about 125 percent of the second dynamic stiffness. Most preferably, the first dynamic stiffness is less than about 110 percent of the second dynamic stiffness.

The invention also includes a method to convert the cis-isomer of the polybutadiene resilient polymer component to the trans-isomer during a molding cycle and to form a golf ball. Various combinations of polymers, cis-to-trans catalysts, fillers, crosslinkers, and a source of free radicals, may be used. To obtain a higher resilience and lower

12

compression center or intermediate layer, a high-molecular weight polybutadiene with a cis-isomer content preferably greater than about 90 percent is converted to increase the percentage of trans-isomer content at any point in the golf ball or portion thereof, preferably to increase the percentage throughout substantially all of the golf ball or portion thereof, during the molding cycle. More preferably, the cis-polybutadiene isomer is present in an amount of greater than about 95 percent of the total polybutadiene content. Without wishing to be bound by any particular theory, it is believed that a low amount of 1,2-polybutadiene isomer ("vinyl-polybutadiene") is desired in the initial polybutadiene, and the reaction product. Typically, the vinyl polybutadiene isomer content is less than about 7 percent. Preferably, the vinyl polybutadiene isomer content is less than about 4 percent. More preferably, the vinyl polybutadiene isomer content is less than about 2 percent. Without wishing to be bound by any particular theory, it is also believed that the resulting mobility of the combined cis- and trans-polybutadiene backbone is responsible for the lower modulus and higher resilience of the reaction product and golf balls including the same.

To produce a polymer reaction product that exhibits the higher resilience and lower modulus (low compression) properties that are desirable and beneficial to golf ball playing characteristics, high-molecular-weight cis-1,4-polybutadiene, preferably may be converted to the trans-isomer during the molding cycle. The polybutadiene material typically has a molecular weight of greater than about 200,000. Preferably, the polybutadiene molecular weight is greater than about 250,000, more preferably between about 300,000 and 500,000. Without wishing to be bound by any particular theory, it is believed that the cis-to-trans catalyst component, in conjunction with the free radical source, acts to convert a percentage of the polybutadiene polymer component from the cis- to the trans-conformation. The cis-to-trans conversion requires the presence of a cis-to-trans catalyst, such as an organosulfur or metal-containing organosulfur compound, a substituted or unsubstituted aromatic organic compound that does not contain sulfur or metal, an inorganic sulfide compound, an aromatic organometallic compound, or mixtures thereof. The cis-to-trans catalyst component may include one or more of the other cis-to-trans catalysts described herein.

In one embodiment, the at least one organosulfur component is substantially free of metal, which typically means less than about 10 weight percent metal, preferably less than about 3 weight percent metal, more preferably less than about 1 weight percent metal, and most preferably only trace amounts of metal, such as less than about 0.01 weight percent.

As used herein when referring to the invention, the term "organosulfur compound(s)" or "organosulfur component(s)," means at least one of 4,4'-diphenyl disulfide; 4,4'-ditolyl disulfide; 2,2'-benzamido diphenyl disulfide; bis(2-aminophenyl)disulfide; bis(4-aminophenyl)disulfide; bis(3-aminophenyl)disulfide; 2,2'-bis(4-aminonaphthyl)disulfide; 2,2'-bis(3-aminonaphthyl)disulfide; 2,2'-bis(4-aminonaphthyl)disulfide; 2,2'-bis(5-aminonaphthyl)disulfide; 2,2'-bis(6-aminonaphthyl)disulfide; 2,2'-bis(7-aminonaphthyl)disulfide; 2,2'-bis(8-aminonaphthyl)disulfide; 1,1'-bis(2-aminonaphthyl)disulfide; 1,1'-bis(3-aminonaphthyl)disulfide; 1,1'-bis(4-aminonaphthyl)disulfide; 1,1'-bis(5-aminonaphthyl)disulfide; 1,1'-bis(6-aminonaphthyl)disulfide; 1,1'-bis(7-aminonaphthyl)disulfide; 1,1'-bis(8-aminonaphthyl)disulfide; 1,2'-diamino-1,2'-

US 6,818,705 B2

13

dithiodinaphthalene; 2,3'-diamino-1,2'-dithiodinaphthalene; bis(4-chlorophenyl)disulfide; bis(2-chlorophenyl)disulfide; bis(3-chlorophenyl)disulfide; bis(4-bromophenyl)disulfide; bis(2-bromophenyl)disulfide; bis(3-bromophenyl)disulfide; bis(4-fluorophenyl)disulfide; bis(4-iodophenyl)disulfide; bis(2,5-dichlorophenyl)disulfide; bis(3,5-dichlorophenyl)disulfide; bis(2,4-dichlorophenyl)disulfide; bis(2,6-dichlorophenyl)disulfide; bis(2,5-dibromophenyl)disulfide; bis(3,5-dibromophenyl)disulfide; bis(2-chloro-5-bromophenyl)disulfide; bis(2,4,6-trichlorophenyl)disulfide; bis(2,3,4,5,6-pentachlorophenyl)disulfide; bis(4-cyanophenyl)disulfide; bis(2-cyanophenyl)disulfide; bis(4-nitrophenyl)disulfide; bis(2-nitrophenyl)disulfide; 2,2'-dithiobenzoic acid ethylester; 2,2'-dithiobenzoic acid methylester; 2,2'-dithiobenzoic acid; 4,4'-dithiobenzoic acid ethylester; bis(4-acetylphenyl)disulfide; bis(2-acetylphenyl)disulfide; bis(4-formylphenyl)disulfide; bis(4-carbamoylphenyl)disulfide; 1,1'-dinaphthyl disulfide; 2,2'-dinaphthyl disulfide; 1,2'-dinaphthyl disulfide; 2,2'-bis(1-chlorodinaphthyl)disulfide; 2,2'-bis(1-bromonaphthyl)disulfide; 1,1'-bis(2-chloronaphthyl)disulfide; 2,2'-bis(1-cyanonaphthyl)disulfide; 2,2'-bis(1-acetylnaphthyl)disulfide; and the like; or a mixture thereof. Preferred organosulfur components include 4,4'-diphenyl disulfide, 4,4'-ditolyl disulfide, or 2,2'-benzamido diphenyl disulfide, or a mixture thereof. A more preferred organosulfur component includes 4,4'-ditolyl disulfide. The organosulfur cis-to-trans catalyst, when present, is preferably present in an amount sufficient to produce the reaction product so as to contain at least about 12 percent trans-polybutadiene isomer, but typically is greater than about 32 percent trans-polybutadiene isomer based on the total resilient polymer component. Suitable metal-containing organosulfur components include, but are not limited to, cadmium, copper, lead, and tellurium analogs of diethyldithiocarbamate, diamyldithiocarbamate, and dimethyldithiocarbamate, or mixtures thereof. Suitable substituted or unsubstituted aromatic organic components that do not include sulfur or a metal include, but are not limited to, 4,4'-diphenyl acetylene, azobenzene, or a mixture thereof. The aromatic organic group preferably ranges in size from C₆ to C₂₀, and more preferably from C₆ to C₁₀. Suitable inorganic sulfide components include, but are not limited to titanium sulfide, manganese sulfide, and sulfide analogs of iron, calcium, cobalt, molybdenum, tungsten, copper, selenium, yttrium, zinc, tin, and bismuth. The cis-to-trans catalyst may also be a blend of an organosulfur component and an inorganic sulfide component.

A substituted or unsubstituted aromatic organic compound may also be included in the cis-to-trans catalyst. In one embodiment, the aromatic organic compound is substantially free of metal. Suitable substituted or unsubstituted aromatic organic components include, but are not limited to, components having the formula (R₁)_x-R₃-M-R₄-(R₂)_y, wherein R₁ and R₂ are each hydrogen or a substituted or unsubstituted C₁₋₂₀ linear, branched, or cyclic alkyl, alkoxy, or alkylthio group, or a single, multiple, or fused ring C₆ to C₂₄ aromatic group; x and y are each an integer from 0 to 5; R₃ and R₄ are each selected from a single, multiple, or fused ring C₆ to C₂₄ aromatic group; and M includes an azo group or a metal component. R₃ and R₄ are each preferably selected from a C₆ to C₁₀ aromatic group, more preferably selected from phenyl, benzyl, naphthyl, benzamido, and benzothiazyl. R₁ and R₂ are each preferably selected from a substituted or unsubstituted C₁₋₁₀ linear, branched, or cyclic alkyl, alkoxy, or alkylthio group or a C₆ to C₁₀ aromatic group. When R₁, R₂, R₃, or R₄, are substituted, the substitution may include one or more of the following substituent

14

groups: hydroxy and metal salts thereof; mercapto and metal salts thereof; halogen; amino, nitro, cyano, and amido; carboxyl including esters, acids, and metal salts thereof; silyl; acrylates and metal salts thereof; sulfonyl or sulfonamide; and phosphates and phosphites. When M is a metal component, it may be any suitable elemental metal available to those of ordinary skill in the art. Typically, the metal will be a transition metal, although preferably it is tellurium or selenium.

The cis-to-trans catalyst can also include a Group VIA component, as defined herein. Elemental sulfur and polymeric sulfur are commercially available from, e.g., Elastochem, Inc. of Chardon, Ohio. Exemplary sulfur catalyst compounds include PB(RM-S)-80 elemental sulfur and PB(CRST)-65 polymeric sulfur, each of which is available from Elastochem, Inc. An exemplary tellurium catalyst under the tradename TELLOY and an exemplary selenium catalyst under the tradename VANDEX are each commercially available from RT Vanderbilt.

The cis-to-trans catalyst is preferably present in an amount from about 0.1 to 10 parts per hundred of the total resilient polymer component. More preferably, the cis-to-trans catalyst is present in an amount from about 0.1 to 5 parts per hundred of the total resilient polymer component. Most preferably, the cis-to-trans catalyst is present in an amount from about 0.1 to 8 parts per hundred of the total resilient polymer component. The cis-to-trans catalyst is typically present in an amount sufficient to produce the reaction product so as to increase the trans-polybutadiene isomer content to contain from about 5 percent to 70 percent trans-polybutadiene based on the total resilient polymer component.

The measurement of trans-isomer content of polybutadiene referred to herein was and can be accomplished as follows. Calibration standards are prepared using at least two polybutadiene rubber samples of known trans-content, e.g., high and low percent trans-polybutadiene. These samples are used alone and blended together in such a way as to create a ladder of trans-polybutadiene content of at least about 1.5% to 50% or to bracket the unknown amount, such that the resulting calibration curve contains at least about 13 equally spaced points.

Using a commercially available Fourier Transform Infrared ("FTIR") spectrometer equipped with a Photoacoustic ("PAS") cell, a PAS spectrum of each standard was obtained using the following instrument parameters: scan at speed of 2.5 KHz (0.16 cm/s optical velocity), use a 1.2 KHz electronic filter, set an undersampling ratio of 2 (number of laser signal zero crossings before collecting a sample), co-add a minimum of 128 scans at a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ over a range of 375 to 4000 cm⁻¹ with a sensitivity setting of 1.

The cis-, trans-, and vinyl-polybutadiene peaks are typically found between 600 and 1100 cm⁻¹ in the PAS spectrum. The area under each of the trans-polybutadiene peaks can be integrated. Determining the fraction of each peak area relative to the total area of the three isomer peaks allow construction of a calibration curve of the trans-polybutadiene area fraction versus the actual trans-polybutadiene content. The correlation coefficient (R²) of the resulting calibration curve must be a minimum of 0.95.

A PAS spectrum is obtained, using the parameters described above, for the unknown core material at the point of interest (e.g., the surface or center of the core) by filling the PAS cell with a sample containing a freshly cut, uncontaminated surface free of foreign matters, such as mold release and the like. The trans-polybutadiene area fraction of

US 6,818,705 B2

15

the unknown is analyzed to determine the actual trans-isomer content from the calibration curve.

In one known circumstance when barium sulfate is included, the above method for testing trans-content may be less accurate. Thus, an additional or alternative test of the trans-content of polybutadiene is as follows. Calibration standards are prepared using at least two polybutadienes of known trans-content (e.g., high and low percent trans-polybutadiene). These samples are used alone and blended together in such a way as to create a ladder of trans-polybutadiene content of at least about 1.5% to 50% or to bracket the unknown amount, such that the resulting calibration curve contains at least about 13 equally spaced points.

Using a Fourier Transform Raman ("FT-Raman") spectrometer equipped with a near-infrared laser, a Stokes Raman spectrum should be obtained from each standard using the following instrument parameters: sufficient laser power to obtain a good signal-to-noise ratio ("S/N") without causing excessive heating or fluorescence (typically about 400 to 800 mW is suitable); a resolution of 2 cm^{-1} ; over a Raman shift spectral range of about 400 to 4000 cm^{-1} ; and co-adding at least 300 scans.

A calibration curve may be constructed from the data generated above, using a chemometrics approach and software such as PLSplus/IQ from Galactic Industries Corp. of Salem, NE. An acceptable calibration was obtained with this software using a PLS-1 curve generated using an SNV (detrend) pathlength correction, a mean center data preparation, and a 5-point SG second derivative over the spectral range from about 1600 to 1700 cm^{-1} . The correlation coefficient (R^2) of the resulting calibration curve must be a minimum of 0.95.

A Raman spectrum of the core material is obtained using this instrument at the point of interest in the sample (e.g., surface or center of the golf ball core). The sample must be free of foreign matter, such as mold release, etc. Analyze the spectrum of the sample using the PLS calibration curve to determine trans-polybutadiene isomer content of the sample.

A free-radical source, often alternatively referred to as a free-radical initiator, is required in the composition and method. The free-radical source is typically a peroxide, and preferably an organic peroxide. Suitable free-radical sources include di-t-amyl peroxide, di(2-t-butyl-peroxyisopropyl) benzene peroxide, 1,1-bis(t-butylperoxy)-3,3,5-trimethylcyclohexane, dicumyl peroxide, di-t-butyl peroxide, 2,5-di-(t-butylperoxy)-2,5-dimethyl hexane, n-butyl-4,4-bis(t-butylperoxy)valerate, lauryl peroxide, benzoyl peroxide, t-butyl hydroperoxide, and the like, and any mixture thereof. The peroxide is typically present in an amount greater than about 0.1 parts per hundred of the total resilient polymer component, preferably about 0.1 to 15 parts per hundred of the resilient polymer component, and more preferably about 0.2 to 5 parts per hundred of the total resilient polymer component. It should be understood by those of ordinary skill in the art that the presence of certain cis-to-trans catalysts according to the invention may require a larger amount of free-radical source, such as the amounts described herein, compared to conventional cross-linking reactions. The free radical source may alternatively or additionally be one or more of an electron beam, UV or gamma radiation, x-rays, or any other high energy radiation source capable of generating free radicals. It should be further understood that heat often facilitates initiation of the generation of free radicals.

Crosslinkers are included to increase the hardness of the reaction product. Suitable crosslinking agents include one or

16

more metallic salts of unsaturated fatty acids or monocarboxylic acids, such as zinc, calcium, or magnesium acrylate salts, and the like, and mixtures thereof. Preferred acrylates include zinc acrylate, zinc diacrylate, zinc methacrylate, and zinc dimethacrylate, and mixtures thereof. The crosslinking agent must be present in an amount sufficient to crosslink a portion of the chains of polymers in the resilient polymer component. For example, the desired compression may be obtained by adjusting the amount of crosslinking. This may be achieved, for example, by altering the type and amount of crosslinking agent, a method well-known to those of ordinary skill in the art. The crosslinking agent is typically present in an amount greater than about 0.1 percent of the resilient polymer component, preferably from about 10 to 40 percent of the resilient polymer component, more preferably from about 10 to 30 percent of the resilient polymer component. When an organosulfur is selected as the cis-to-trans catalyst, zinc diacrylate may be selected as the crosslinking agent and is present in an amount of less than about 25 phr.

Fillers added to one or more portions of the golf ball typically include processing aids or compounds to affect rheological and mixing properties, the specific gravity (i.e., density-modifying fillers), the modulus, the tear strength, reinforcement, and the like. The fillers are generally inorganic, and suitable fillers include numerous metals or metal oxides, such as zinc oxide and tin oxide, as well as barium sulfate, zinc sulfate, calcium carbonate, barium carbonate, clay, tungsten, tungsten carbide, an array of silicas, and mixtures thereof. Fillers may also include various foaming agents or blowing agents which may be readily selected by one of ordinary skill in the art. Polymeric, ceramic, metal, and glass microspheres may be solid or hollow, and filled or unfilled. Fillers are typically also added to one or more portions of the golf ball to modify the density thereof to conform to uniform golf ball standards. Fillers may also be used to modify the weight of the center or at least one additional layer for specialty balls, e.g., a lower weight ball is preferred for a player having a low swing speed.

The polymers, free-radical initiator, filler(s), and any other materials used in forming either the golf ball center or any portion of the core, in accordance with invention, may be combined to form a mixture by any type of mixing known to one of ordinary skill in the art. Suitable types of mixing include single pass and multi-pass mixing, and the like. The crosslinking agent, and any other optional additives used to modify the characteristics of the golf ball center or additional layer(s), may similarly be combined by any type of mixing. A single-pass mixing process where ingredients are added sequentially is preferred, as this type of mixing tends to increase efficiency and reduce costs for the process. The preferred mixing cycle is single step wherein the polymer, cis-trans catalyst, filler, zinc diacrylate, and peroxide are added sequentially. Suitable mixing equipment is well known to those of ordinary skill in the art, and such equipment may include a Banbury mixer, a two-roll mill, or a twin screw extruder. Conventional mixing speeds for combining polymers are typically used, although the speed must be high enough to impart substantially uniform dispersion of the constituents. On the other hand, the speed should not be too high, as high mixing speeds tend to break down the polymers being mixed and particularly may undesirably decrease the molecular weight of the resilient polymer component. The speed should thus be low enough to avoid high shear, which may result in loss of desirably high molecular weight portions of the polymer component. Also, too high a mixing speed may undesirably result in creation

US 6,818,705 B2

17

of enough heat to initiate the crosslinking before the preforms are shaped and assembled around a core. The mixing temperature depends upon the type of polymer components, and more importantly, on the type of free-radical initiator. For example, when using di(2-t-butyl-peroxyisopropyl) benzene as the free-radical initiator, a mixing temperature of about 80° C. to 125° C., preferably about 88° C. to 110° C., and more preferably about 90° C. to 100° C. is suitable to safely mix the ingredients. Additionally, it is important to maintain a mixing temperature below the peroxide decomposition temperature. For example, if dicumyl peroxide is selected as the peroxide, the temperature should not exceed 200° F. Suitable mixing speeds and temperatures are well-known to those of ordinary skill in the art, or may be readily determined without undue experimentation.

The mixture can be subjected to, e.g., a compression or injection molding process, to obtain solid spheres for the center or hemispherical shells for forming an intermediate layer. The polymer mixture is subjected to a molding cycle in which heat and pressure are applied while the mixture is confined within a mold. The cavity shape depends on the portion of the golf ball being formed. The compression and heat liberates free radicals by decomposing one or more peroxides, which may initiate the cis-to-trans conversion and crosslinking simultaneously. The temperature and duration of the molding cycle are selected based upon the type of peroxide and cis-trans catalyst selected. The molding cycle may have a single step of molding the mixture at a single temperature for a fixed time duration. An example of a single step molding cycle, for a mixture that contains dicumyl peroxide, would hold the polymer mixture at 340° F. for a duration of 15 minutes. The molding cycle may also include a two-step process, in which the polymer mixture is held in the mold at an initial temperature for an initial duration of time, followed by holding at a second, typically higher temperature for a second duration of time. An example of a two-step molding cycle would be holding the mold at 290° F. for 40 minutes, then ramping the mold to 340° F. where it is held for a duration of 20 minutes. In a preferred embodiment of the current invention, a single-step cure cycle is employed. Single-step processes are effective and efficient, reducing the time and cost of a two-step process. The resilient polymer component, polybutadiene, cis-to-trans conversion catalyst, additional polymers, free-radical initiator, filler, and any other materials used in forming either the golf ball center or any portion of the core, in accordance with the invention, may be combined to form a golf ball by an injection molding process, which is also well-known to one of ordinary skill in the art. Although the curing time depends on the various materials selected, a particularly suitable curing time is about 5 to 18 minutes, preferably from about 8 to 15 minutes, and more preferably from about 10 to 12 minutes. Those of ordinary skill in the art will be readily able to adjust the curing time upward or downward based on the particular materials used and the discussion herein.

The cured resilient polymer component, which contains a greater amount of trans-polybutadiene than the uncured resilient polymer component, is formed into an article having a first hardness at a point in the interior and a surface having a second hardness such that the second hardness differs from the first hardness by greater than 10 percent of the first hardness. Preferably, the article is a sphere and the point is the midpoint of the article. In another embodiment, the second hardness differs from the first by greater than 20 percent of the first hardness. The cured article also has a first amount of trans-polybutadiene at an interior location and a

18

second amount of trans-polybutadiene at a surface location, wherein the first amount is at least about 6 percent less than the second amount, preferably at least about 10 percent less than the second amount, and more preferably at least about 20 percent less than the second amount. The interior location is preferably a midpoint and the article is preferably a sphere. The compression of the core, or portion of the core, of golf balls prepared according to the invention is preferably below about 50, more preferably below about 25.

The cover provides the interface between the ball and a club. Properties that are desirable for the cover are good moldability, high abrasion resistance, high tear strength, high resilience, and good mold release, among others. The cover typically has a thickness to provide sufficient strength, good performance characteristics and durability. The cover preferably has a thickness of less than about 0.1 inches, more preferably, less than about 0.05 inches, and most preferably, between about 0.02 and about 0.04 inches. The invention is particularly directed towards a multilayer golf ball which comprises a core, an inner cover layer, and an outer cover layer. In this embodiment, preferably, at least one of the inner and outer cover layers has a thickness of less than about 0.05 inches, more preferably between about 0.02 and about 0.04 inches. Most preferably, the thickness of either layer is about 0.03 inches.

When the golf ball of the present invention includes an intermediate layer, such as an inner cover layer, this layer can include any materials known to those of ordinary skill in the art, including thermoplastic and thermosetting materials, but preferably the intermediate layer can include any suitable materials, such as ionic copolymers of ethylene and an unsaturated monocarboxylic acid which are available under the trademark SURLYN of E. I. DuPont de Nemours & Co., of Wilmington, Del., or IOTEK or ESCOR of Exxon. These are copolymers or terpolymers of ethylene and methacrylic acid or acrylic acid partially neutralized with salts of zinc, sodium, lithium, magnesium, potassium, calcium, manganese, nickel or the like, in which the salts are the reaction product of an olefin having from 2 to 8 carbon atoms and an unsaturated monocarboxylic acid having 3 to 8 carbon atoms. The carboxylic acid groups of the copolymer may be totally or partially neutralized and might include methacrylic, crotonic, maleic, fumaric or itaconic acid.

This golf ball can likewise include one or more homopolymeric or copolymeric intermediate materials, such as:

- (1) Vinyl resins, such as those formed by the polymerization of vinyl chloride, or by the copolymerization of vinyl chloride with vinyl acetate, acrylic esters or vinylidene chloride;
- (2) Polyolefins, such as polyethylene, polypropylene, polybutylene and copolymers such as ethylene methylacrylate, ethylene ethylacrylate, ethylene vinyl acetate, ethylene methacrylic or ethylene acrylic acid or propylene acrylic acid and copolymers and homopolymers produced using a single-site catalyst or a metallocene catalyst;
- (3) Polyurethanes, such as those prepared from polyols and diisocyanates or polyisocyanates and those disclosed in U.S. Pat. No. 5,334,673;
- (4) Polyureas, such as those disclosed in U.S. Pat. No. 5,484,870;
- (5) Polyamides, such as poly(hexamethylene adipamide) and others prepared from diamines and dibasic acids, as well as those from amino acids such as poly(caprolactam), and blends of polyamides with

US 6,818,705 B2

19

SURLYN, polyethylene, ethylene copolymers, ethylpropylene-non-conjugated diene terpolymer, and the like;

- (6) Acrylic resins and blends of these resins with polyvinyl chloride, elastomers, and the like;
- (7) Thermoplastics, such as urethanes; olefinic thermoplastic rubbers, such as blends of polyolefins with ethylene-propylene-non-conjugated diene terpolymer; block copolymers of styrene and butadiene, isoprene or ethylene-butylene rubber; or copoly(ether-amide), such as PEBAX, sold by ELF Atochem of Philadelphia, Pa.;
- (8) Polyphenylene oxide resins or blends of polyphenylene oxide with high impact polystyrene as sold under the trademark NORYL by General Electric Company of Pittsfield, Mass.;
- (9) Thermoplastic polyesters, such as polyethylene terephthalate, polybutylene terephthalate, polyethylene terephthalate/glycol modified and elastomers sold under the trademarks HYTREL by E. I. DuPont de Nemours & Co. of Wilmington, Del., and LOMOD by General Electric Company of Pittsfield, Mass.;
- (10) Blends and alloys, including polycarbonate with acrylonitrile butadiene styrene, polybutylene terephthalate, polyethylene terephthalate, styrene maleic anhydride, polyethylene, elastomers, and the like, and polyvinyl chloride with acrylonitrile butadiene styrene or ethylene vinyl acetate or other elastomers; and
- (11) Blends of thermoplastic rubbers with polyethylene, propylene, polyacetal, nylon, polyesters, cellulose esters, and the like.

Preferably, the optional intermediate layer includes polymers, such as ethylene, propylene, butene-1 or hexane-1 based homopolymers or copolymers including functional monomers, such as acrylic and methacrylic acid and fully or partially neutralized ionomer resins and their blends, methyl acrylate, methyl methacrylate homopolymers and copolymers, imidized, amino group containing polymers, polycarbonate, reinforced polyamides, polyphenylene oxide, high impact polystyrene, polyether ketone, polysulfone, poly(phenylene sulfide), acrylonitrile-butadiene, acrylic-styrene-acrylonitrile, poly(ethylene terephthalate), poly(butylene terephthalate), poly(ethylene vinyl alcohol), poly(tetrafluoroethylene) and their copolymers including functional comonomers, and blends thereof. Suitable cover compositions also include a polyether or polyester thermoplastic urethane, a thermoset polyurethane, a low modulus ionomer, such as acid-containing ethylene copolymer ionomers, including E/X/Y terpolymers where E is ethylene, X is an acrylate or methacrylate-based softening comonomer present in about 0 to 50 weight percent and Y is acrylic or methacrylic acid present in about 5 to 35 weight percent. More preferably, in a low spin rate embodiment designed for maximum distance, the acrylic or methacrylic acid is present in about 15 to 35 weight percent, making the ionomer a high modulus ionomer. In a high spin embodiment, the cover includes an ionomer where an acid is present in about 10 to 15 weight percent and includes a softening comonomer.

The cover preferably include a polyurethane composition comprising the reaction product of at least one polyisocyanate, polyol, and at least one curing agent.


Any polyisocyanate available to one of ordinary skill in the art is suitable for use according to the invention. Exemplary polyisocyanates include, but are not limited to, 4,4'-diphenylmethane diisocyanate ("MDI"), polymeric MDI,

20

carbodiimide-modified liquid MDI, 4,4'-dicyclohexylmethane diisocyanate ("HH₁₂MDI"), p-phenylene diisocyanate ("PPDI"), toluene diisocyanate ("TDI"), 3,3'-dimethyl-4,4'-biphenylene diisocyanate ("TODI"), isophoronediiisocyanate ("IPDI"), hexamethylene diisocyanate ("HDI"), naphthalene diisocyanate ("NDI"); xylene diisocyanate ("XDI"); para-tetramethylxylene diisocyanate ("p-TMXDI"); meta-tetramethylxylene diisocyanate ("m-TMXDI"); ethylene diisocyanate; propylene-1,2-diisocyanate; tetramethylene-1,4-diisocyanate; cyclohexyl diisocyanate; 1,6-hexamethylene-diisocyanate ("HDI"); dodecane-1,12-diisocyanate; cyclobutane-1,3-diisocyanate; cyclohexane-1,3-diisocyanate; cyclohexane-1,4-diisocyanate; 1-isocyanato-3,3,5-trimethyl-5-isocyanatomethylcyclohexane; methyl cyclohexylene diisocyanate; triisocyanate of HDI; triisocyanate of 2,4,4-trimethyl-1,6-hexane diisocyanate ("TMDI"), tetracene diisocyanate, naphthalene diisocyanate, anthracene diisocyanate, and mixtures thereof. Polyisocyanates are known to those of ordinary skill in the art as having more than one isocyanate group, e.g., di-, tri-, and tetra-isocyanate. Preferably, the polyisocyanate includes MDI, PPDI, TDI, or a mixture thereof, and more preferably, the polyisocyanate includes MDI. It should be understood that, as used herein, the term "MDI" includes 4,4'-diphenylmethane diisocyanate, polymeric MDI, carbodiimide-modified liquid MDI, and mixtures thereof and, additionally, that the diisocyanate employed may be "low free monomer," understood by one of ordinary skill in the art to have lower levels of "free" monomer isocyanate groups, typically less than about 0.1% free monomer groups. Examples of "low free monomer" diisocyanates include, but are not limited to Low Free Monomer MDI, Low Free Monomer TDI, and Low Free Monomer PPDI.

The at least one polyisocyanate should have less than about 14% unreacted NCO groups. Preferably, the at least one polyisocyanate has no greater than about 7.5% NCO, more preferably, between about 2.5% and about 7.5%, and most preferably, between about 4% to about 6.5%.

Any polyol available to one of ordinary skill in the art is suitable for use according to the invention. Exemplary polyols include, but are not limited to, polyether polyols, hydroxy-terminated polybutadiene (including partially/fully hydrogenated derivatives), polyester polyols, polycaprolactone polyols, and polycarbonate polyols. In one preferred embodiment, the polyol includes polyether polyol, more preferably those polyols that have the generic structure:




where R₁ and R₂ are straight or branched hydrocarbon chains, each containing from 1 to about 20 carbon atoms, and n ranges from 1 to about 45. Examples include, but are not limited to, polytetramethylene ether glycol ("PTMEG"), polyethylene propylene glycol, polyoxypropylene glycol, and mixtures thereof. The hydrocarbon chain can have saturated or unsaturated bonds and substituted or unsubstituted aromatic and cyclic groups. Preferably, the polyol of the present invention includes PTMEG.

In another embodiment, polyester polyols are included in the polyurethane material of the invention. Preferred polyester polyols have the generic structure:


US 6,818,705 B2

21




where R_1 and R_2 are straight or branched hydrocarbon chains, each containing from 1 to about 20 carbon atoms, and n ranges from 1 to about 25. Suitable polyester polyols include, but are not limited to, polyethylene adipate glycol, polybutylene adipate glycol, polyethylene propylene adipate glycol, ortho-phthalate-1,6-hexanediol, and mixtures thereof. The hydrocarbon chain can have saturated or unsaturated bonds, or substituted or unsubstituted aromatic and cyclic groups.

In another embodiment, polycaprolactone polyols are included in the materials of the invention. Preferably, any polycaprolactone polyols have the generic structure:



where R_1 is a straight chain or branched hydrocarbon chain containing from 1 to about 20 carbon atoms, and n is the chain length and ranges from 1 to about 20. Suitable polycaprolactone polyols include, but are not limited to, 1,6-hexanediol-initiated polycaprolactone, diethylene glycol initiated polycaprolactone, trimethylol propane initiated polycaprolactone, neopentyl glycol initiated polycaprolactone, 1,4-butanediol-initiated polycaprolactone, and mixtures thereof. The hydrocarbon chain can have saturated or unsaturated bonds, or substituted or unsubstituted aromatic and cyclic groups.

In yet another embodiment, the polycarbonate polyols are included in the polyurethane material of the invention. Preferably, any polycarbonate polyols have the generic structure:




where R_1 is predominantly bisphenol A units $-(p-C_6H_4)-C(CH_3)_2-(p-C_6H_4)-$ or derivatives thereof, and n is the chain length and ranges from 1 to about 20. Suitable polycarbonates include, but are not limited to, polyphthalate carbonate. The hydrocarbon chain can have saturated or unsaturated bonds, or substituted or unsubstituted aromatic and cyclic groups. In one embodiment, the molecular weight of the polyol is from about 200 to about 4000.

Polyamine curatives are also suitable for use in the polyurethane composition of the invention and have been found to improve cut, shear, and impact resistance of the resultant balls. Preferred polyamine curatives include, but are not limited to, 3,5-dimethylthio-2,4-toluenediamine and isomers thereof; 3,5-diethyltoluene-2,4-diamine and isomers thereof, such as 3,5-diethyltoluene-2,6-diamine; 4,4'-bis-(sec-butylamino)-diphenylmethane; 1,4-bis-(sec-butylamino)-benzene, 4,4'-methylene-bis-(2-chloroaniline); 4,4'-methylene-bis-(3-chloro-2,6-diethylaniline); polytetramethyleneoxide-di-p-aminobenzoate; N,N'-dialkyldiamino diphenyl methane; p,p'-methylene dianiline ("MDA"); m-phenylenediamine ("MPDA"); 4,4'-

22

methylene-bis-(2-chloroaniline) ("MOCA"); 4,4'-methylene-bis-(2,6-diethylaniline); 4,4'-diamino-3,3'-diethyl-5,5'-dimethyl diphenylmethane; 2,2',3,3'-tetrachloro diamino diphenylmethane; 4,4'-methylene-bis-(3-chloro-2,6-diethylaniline); trimethylene glycol di-p-aminobenzoate; and mixtures thereof. Preferably, the curing agent of the present invention includes 3,5-dimethylthio-2,4-toluenediamine and isomers thereof, such as ETHACURE 300, commercially available from Albermarle Corporation of Baton Rouge, La. Suitable polyamine curatives, which include both primary and secondary amines, preferably have molecular weights ranging from about 64 to about 2000.


Other suitable polyamine curatives include those having the general formula:



where n and m each separately have values of 0, 1, 2, or 3, and where Y is 1,2-cyclohexyl, 1,3-cyclohexyl, 1,4-cyclohexyl, ortho-phenylene, meta-phenylene, or para-phenylene, or a combination thereof. Preferably, n and m , each separately, have values of 0, 1, or 2, and preferably, 1 or 2.

At least one of a diol, triol, tetraol, or hydroxy-terminated curatives may be added to the aforementioned polyurethane composition. Suitable diol, triol, and tetraol groups include ethylene glycol; diethylene glycol; polyethylene glycol; propylene glycol; polypropylene glycol; lower molecular weight polytetramethylene ether glycol; 1,3-bis(2-hydroxyethoxy) benzene; 1,3-bis-[2-(2-hydroxyethoxy)ethoxy]benzene; 1,3-bis-[2-[2-(2-hydroxyethoxy)ethoxy]ethoxy]benzene; 1,4-butanediol; 1,5-pentanediol; 1,6-hexanediol; resorcinol-di-(β -hydroxyethyl)ether; hydroquinone-di-(β -hydroxyethyl)ether; and mixtures thereof. Preferred hydroxy-terminated curatives include ethylene glycol; diethylene glycol; 1,4-butanediol; 1,5-pentanediol; 1,6-hexanediol, trimethylol propane, and mixtures thereof.

Preferably, the hydroxy-terminated curatives have molecular weights ranging from about 48 to 2000. It should be understood that molecular weight, as used herein, is the absolute weight average molecular weight and would be understood as such by one of ordinary skill in the art. Other suitable hydroxy-terminated curatives have the following general chemical structure:



where n and m each separately have values of 0, 1, 2, or 3, and where X is ortho-phenylene, meta-phenylene, para-phenylene, 1,2-cyclohexyl, 1,3-cyclohexyl, or 1,4-cyclohexyl, or mixtures thereof. Preferably, n and m each separately have values of 0, 1, or 2, and more preferably, 1 or 2.

Both the hydroxy-terminated and amine curatives can include one or more saturated, unsaturated, aromatic, and cyclic groups. Additionally, the hydroxy-terminated and amine curatives can include one or more halogen groups. The polyurethane composition can be formed with a blend or mixture of curing agents. If desired, however, the polyurethane composition may be formed with a single curing agent.

Any method known to one of ordinary skill in the art may be used to combine the polyisocyanate, polyol, and curing

US 6,818,705 B2

23

agent of the present invention. One commonly employed method, known in the art as a one-shot method, involves concurrent mixing of the polyisocyanate, polyol, and curing agent. This method results in a mixture that is inhomogeneous (more random) and affords the manufacturer less control over the molecular structure of the resultant composition. A preferred method of mixing is known as a prepolymer method. In this method, the polyisocyanate and the polyol are mixed separately prior to addition of the curing agent. This method affords a more homogeneous mixture resulting in a more consistent polymer composition.

An optional filler component may be chosen to impart additional density to blends of the previously described components. The selection of such filler(s) is dependent upon the type of golf ball desired (i.e., one-piece, two-piece multi-component, or wound). Examples of useful fillers include zinc oxide, barium sulfate, calcium oxide, calcium carbonate and silica, as well as the other well known corresponding salts and oxides thereof. Additives, such as nanoparticles, glass spheres, and various metals, such as titanium and tungsten, can be added to the polyurethane compositions of the present invention, in amounts as needed, for their well-known purposes. Additional components which can be added to the polyurethane composition include UV stabilizers and other dyes, as well as optical brighteners and fluorescent pigments and dyes. Such additional ingredients may be added in any amounts that will achieve their desired purpose.

Due to the very thin nature, it has been found by the present invention that the use of a castable, reactive material, which is applied in a fluid form, makes it possible to obtain very thin outer cover layers on golf balls. Specifically, it has been found that castable, reactive liquids, which react to form a urethane elastomer material, provide desirable very thin outer cover layers.

The castable, reactive liquid employed to form the urethane elastomer material can be applied over the inner core using a variety of application techniques such as spraying, dipping, spin coating, or flow coating methods which are well known in the art. An example of a suitable coating technique is that which is disclosed in U.S. Pat. No. 5,733,428, filed May 2, 1995 entitled "Method And Apparatus For Forming Polyurethane Cover On A Golf Ball", the disclosure of which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety in the present application.

The cover is preferably formed around the coated core by mixing and introducing the material in the mold halves. It is important that the viscosity be measured over time, so that the subsequent steps of filling each mold half, introducing the core into one half and closing the mold can be properly timed for accomplishing centering of the core cover halves fusion and achieving overall uniformity. Suitable viscosity range of the curing urethane mix for introducing cores into the mold halves is determined to be approximately between about 2,000 cP and about 30,000 cP, with the preferred range of about 8,000 cP to about 15,000 cP.

To start the cover formation, mixing of the prepolymer and curative is accomplished in motorized mixer including mixing head by feeding through lines metered amounts of curative and prepolymer. Top preheated mold halves are filled and placed in fixture units using pins moving into holes in each mold. After the reacting materials have resided in top mold halves for about 50 to about 80 seconds, a core is lowered at a controlled speed into the gelling reacting mixture. At a later time, a bottom mold half or a series of bottom mold halves have similar mixture amounts introduced into the cavity.

24

A ball cup holds the ball core through reduced pressure (or partial vacuum) in hose. Upon location of the coated core in the halves of the mold after gelling for about 50 to about 80 seconds, the vacuum is released allowing core to be released. The mold halves, with core and solidified cover half thereon, are removed from the centering fixture unit, inverted and mated with other mold halves which, at an appropriate time earlier, have had a selected quantity of reacting polyurethane prepolymer and curing agent introduced therein to commence gelling.

Similarly, U.S. Pat. No. 5,006,297 to Brown et al. and U.S. Pat. No. 5,334,673 to Wu both also disclose suitable molding techniques which may be utilized to apply the castable reactive liquids employed in the present invention. The disclosures of these patents are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety. However, the method of the invention is not limited to the use of these techniques.

Depending on the desired properties, balls prepared according to the invention can exhibit substantially the same or higher resilience, or coefficient of restitution ("COR"), with a decrease in compression or modulus, compared to balls of conventional construction. Additionally, balls prepared according to the invention can also exhibit substantially higher resilience, or COR, without an increase in compression, compared to balls of conventional construction. Another measure of this resilience is the "loss tangent," or $\tan \delta$, which is obtained when measuring the dynamic stiffness of an object. Loss tangent and terminology relating to such dynamic properties is typically described according to ASTM D4092-90. Thus, a lower loss tangent indicates a higher resiliency, thereby indicating a higher rebound capacity. Low loss tangent indicates that most of the energy imparted to a golf ball from the club is converted to dynamic energy, i.e., launch velocity and resulting longer distance. The rigidity or compressive stiffness of a golf ball may be measured, for example, by the dynamic stiffness. A higher dynamic stiffness indicates a higher compressive stiffness. To produce golf balls having a desirable compressive stiffness, the dynamic stiffness of the crosslinked reaction product material should be less than about 50,000 N/m at -50°C . Preferably, the dynamic stiffness should be between about 10,000 and 40,000 N/m at -50°C ., more preferably, the dynamic stiffness should be between about 20,000 and 30,000 N/m at -50°C .

The dynamic stiffness is similar in some ways to dynamic modulus. Dynamic stiffness is dependent on probe geometry as described herein, whereas dynamic modulus is a unique material property, independent of geometry. The dynamic stiffness measurement has the unique attribute of enabling quantitative measurement of dynamic modulus and exact measurement of loss tangent at discrete points within a sample article. In the case of this invention, the article is a golf ball core. The polybutadiene reaction product preferably has a loss tangent below about 0.1 at -50°C ., and more preferably below about 0.07 at -50°C .

The resultant golf balls typically have a coefficient of restitution of greater than about 0.7, preferably greater than about 0.75, and more preferably greater than about 0.78. The golf balls also typically have an Atti compression (which has been referred to as PGA compression in the past) of at least about 40, preferably from about 50 to 120, and more preferably from about 60 to 100. The golf ball polybutadiene material of the present invention typically has a flexural modulus of from about 500 psi to 300,000 psi, preferably from about 2000 to 200,000 psi. The golf ball polybutadiene material typically has a hardness of at least about 15 Shore A, preferably between about 30 Shore A and 80 Shore D,

US 6,818,705 B2

25

more preferably between about 50 Shore A and 60 Shore D. The specific gravity is typically greater than about 0.7, preferably greater than about 1, for the golf ball polybutadiene material.

The center composition should comprise at least one rubber material having a resilience index of at least about 40. Preferably the resilience index is at least about 50. A comparison of a number of polybutadiene polymers are listed in Table 1 below. Polymers that produce resilient golf balls and, therefore, are suitable for the present invention, include but are not limited to CB23, CB22, BR60, and 1207G. To clarify the method of computation for resilience index, the resilience index for CB23, for example, is computed as follows:

$$\text{Resilience Index for CB23} = 100,000 \{ (0.954) - (0.407) \}^{990} \text{ Resilience Index for CB23} = 55$$

TABLE 1

Resilience Index of example polybutadiene polymers			
Rubber	Tan δ at		Resilience Index at 100° C.
	10 cpm	1000 cpm	
CB23	0.954	0.407	55
CB22	0.895	0.358	54
BR-60	0.749	0.350	40
BR-40	0.841	0.446	40
Taktene 8855	0.720	0.414	31
CARIFLEX BR1220	0.487	0.439	5
BUDENE 1207G	0.825	0.388	44

The molding process and composition of golf ball portions typically results in a gradient of material properties. Methods employed in the prior art generally exploit hard-

26

Additionally, the unvulcanized rubber, such as polybutadiene, in golf balls prepared according to the invention typically has a Mooney viscosity of between about 40 and about 80, more preferably, between about 45 and about 60, and most preferably, between about 45 and about 55. Mooney viscosity is typically measured according to ASTM D-1646.

When golf balls are prepared according to the invention, they typically will have dimple coverage greater than about 60 percent, preferably greater than about 65 percent, and more preferably greater than about 75 percent. The flexural modulus of the cover on the golf balls, as measured by ASTM method D6272-98, Procedure B, is typically greater than about 500 psi, and is preferably from about 500 psi to 150,000 psi. As discussed herein, the outer cover layer is preferably formed from a relatively soft polyurethane material. In particular, the material of the outer cover layer should have a material hardness, as measured by ASTM-2240, between about 30 and about 60 Shore D, preferably from about 35 to about 55 Shore D. The inner cover layer, if present, preferably has a material hardness from about 50 to about 75 Shore D, preferably from about 60 to about 65 Shore D.

EXAMPLES

A variety of cores were prepared according to the present invention, as well as some cores prepared using conventional materials. All cores in Table 2 were prepared to a diameter of 1.58 inches. The recipes for each core, and values measured for compression and COR are presented in Table 2 below:

TABLE 2

<u>Golf Ball Core Properties from Various Rubber Formulations</u>						
Ingredients	Mooney viscosity	1	2	3	4	5
	@ 100° C.					
CB23	51	100				
CB22	63		100			
BR-60	60			100		
Taktene 8855	48				100	
CARIFLEX BR1220	43					100
zinc diacrylate		28	28	28	28	28
peroxide		0.53	0.53	0.53	0.53	0.53
zinc oxide		4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
tungsten		11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0
<u>Core Properties</u>						
compression		77	75	77	76	71
COR @ 125 ft/s		0.815	0.811	0.810	0.807	0.802

ness to quantify these gradients. Hardness is a qualitative measure of static modulus and does not represent the modulus of the material at the deformation rates associated with golf ball use, i.e., impact by a club. As is well known to one skilled in the art of polymer science, the time-temperature superposition principle may be used to emulate alternative deformation rates. For golf ball portions including polybutadiene, a 1-Hz oscillation at temperatures between 0° C. and -50° C. are believed to be qualitatively equivalent to golf ball impact rates. Therefore, measurement of loss tangent and dynamic stiffness at 0° C. to -50° C. may be used to accurately anticipate golf ball performance, preferably at temperatures between about -20° C. and -50° C.

A variety of metal sulfide cis-to-trans catalysts that successfully converted a portion of the cis-polybutadiene isomer to the trans-isomer are presented in Table 3. CARIFLEX BR1220 polybutadiene (100 phr) was reacted with zinc oxide (5 phr), dicumyl peroxide (3 phr, the free radical initiator), and zinc diacrylate (25 phr), to form the reaction product as described in the present invention.

Trans-isomer conversion percentages range from below 8 percent to above 17 percent for the various catalysts that are present in amounts ranging from below 1.7 phr to above 3.7 phr. The table clearly demonstrates the effectiveness of numerous different cis-to-trans catalysts, at varying concentrations, for increasing the trans-polybutadiene content.

US 6,818,705 B2

27

Example 1

A Core Prepared from According to the Invention,
Employing an Organosulfur Cis-to-trans Catalyst

A core according to the present invention was created employing an organosulfur compound as the cis-to-trans conversion catalyst. The resultant core properties clearly demonstrate the advantages of a golf ball core made according to the current invention as compared to example cores constructed with conventional technology. The components and physical characteristics are presented in Table 4.

The compressive load of cores prepared according to the invention is approximately half of the compressive load of cores constructed in accordance with U.S. Pat. Nos. 5,697, 856, 5,252,652, and 4,692,497, while at the same time retaining roughly the same, and in some cases higher, COR (resilience). The core made according to the current invention has a lower compressive load (soft), yet is resilient (fast). The compressive load is greater than that of a core constructed in accordance with U.S. Pat. No. 3,239,228, but has a significantly higher COR. The core of U.S. Pat. No. 3,239,228 is very soft and very slow (very low COR).

The percent change in dynamic stiffness from 0° C. to -50° C. was also measured at both the edge and center of the cores. The dynamic stiffness at both the edge and the center

28

of the core of the current invention varied only slightly, less than 20 percent, over the temperature range investigated. The core made according to U.S. Pat. No. 3,239,228 varied over 230 percent, whereas the cores made according to other conventional technology, had a dynamic stiffness that varied by greater than 130 percent, and typically by as much as 150 percent, over the same temperature range.

The percent of trans-conversion was also measured at both the center and edge of the core prepared according to the current invention, and for cores prepared as disclosed in the same four patents mentioned above, allowing a trans-gradient to be calculated. The core according to the current invention had a trans-gradient of about 32 percent from edge to center. For the core prepared according to the current invention, the pre- and post-cure trans-percentages was also measured to determine the effectiveness of that process. The percentage of polybutadiene converted to the trans-isomer ranged from almost 40 percent at the center to greater than 55 percent at the edge. Two of the cores prepared according to conventional technology, U.S. Pat. Nos. 3,239,228 and 4,692,497, had a zero trans-gradient. A third core, prepared according to U.S. Pat. No. 5,697,856, had only a slight trans-gradient, less than 18 percent from edge to center. A fourth core, prepared according to U.S. Pat. No. 5,252,652, had a very large gradient, almost 65 percent from edge to center.

TABLE 3

Metal Sulfide Conversion Examples													
CARIFLEX BR1220	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Zinc oxide	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Dicumyl peroxide	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Zinc Diacrylate	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
Cis-to-Trans "Catalyst"													
FeS	2.87												
MnS		2.65											
TiS ₂			1.70										
CaS				2.20									
CoS					2.77								
MoS ₂						2.43							
WS ₂							3.77						
Cu ₂ S								4.65					
SeS ₂									2.19				
Y ₂ S ₃										2.76			
ZnS											2.97		
Sb ₂ S ₃												3.45	
Bi ₂ S ₃													5.22
% Trans BR isomer	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
Precure													
% Trans BR isomer	10.5	16.1	17.0	8.3	10.3	10.1	9.2	5.8	5.2	10.2	10.1	10.7	10.5
Postcure													

TABLE 4

Chemical Constituents	Examples of Golf Balls of the Invention				Examples of Conventional Golf Balls			
	#1	#2	#3	US #3239228	US #5816944	US #5252652	US #4971329	US #4692497
Polybutadiene (Shell, CARIFLEX BR1220)	100	100	100		N/A	N/A	N/A	
Polybutadiene (Firestone, 35 NF)				100	N/A	N/A	N/A	
DMDS				2.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Carbon Black (RA)				15	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Wood Flour				24	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Sulfur				24	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Stearic Acid				1.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Reogen				15	N/A	N/A	N/A	

US 6,818,705 B2

29

30

TABLE 4-continued

Chemical Constituents	Examples of Golf Balls of the Invention			Examples of Conventional Golf Balls			
	#1	#2	#3	US #3239228	US #5816944	US #5252652	US #4971329
Vanox MBPC				2	N/A	N/A	N/A
Triethanolamine				4	N/A	N/A	N/A
Zinc oxide	5	5	5	5	N/A	N/A	N/A
Dicumyl peroxide	3	1.9	2		N/A	N/A	N/A
Zinc Diacrylate	25	25	25		N/A	N/A	N/A
Cis-Trans "Catalyst"					N/A	N/A	N/A
MnS		0.82			N/A	N/A	N/A
Ditolylsulfide	2.5		1.5		N/A	N/A	N/A
Cu ₂ S			1		N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Resultant Core Properties</u>							
Load(lbs) @10.8% Deflection 1.580" core	165.5	191.4	191.8	61.1	325	390	480
Coefficient of Restitution @125 ft/s	0.783	0.777	0.785	0.599	0.779	0.805	0.775
<u>Hardness Shore C</u>							
Surface	61	76	62	35	75	80	80.5
Center	52	52	59	30	70	61	66.5
<u>Dynamic Stiffness @ 0° C. (N/m)</u>							
Edge*	25338	27676	28493	8312	62757	83032	72235
Center	20783	17390	27579	8361	61071	26264	50612
<u>Dynamic Stiffness @ -50° C. (N/m)</u>							
Edge*	30265	34523	34455	19394	92763	109053	108242
Center	23022	20603	32195	18617	89677	28808	83183
<u>Dynamic Stiffness Ratio at -50° C./0° C.</u>							
Edge*	119%	125%	121%	233%	148%	131%	150%
Center	111%	118%	117%	223%	147%	110%	164%
<u>Loss Tangent 0° C.</u>							
Edge*	0.024	0.027	0.024	0.074	0.039	0.037	0.045
Center	0.025	0.023	0.023	0.073	0.033	0.025	0.043
<u>Loss Tangent -50° C.</u>							
Edge*	0.098	0.084	0.097	0.183	0.142	0.119	0.099
Center	0.067	0.071	0.085	0.180	0.129	0.059	0.095
% Trans BR isomer Precure	1.5	1.5	1.5	50	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Trans BR isomer Postcure							
Surface	55.8	8.4	45.5	50	30.2	24.6	1.5
Center	37.8	4.6	25.5	50	24.7	8.5	1.5
% Trans Variation (Surf.-Center)/Surf.	32%	45%	44%	0%	18%	65%	0%

*Edge is measured approximately 5 mm from the exterior surface of the measured article.

45

Example 2

A Core Prepared from According to the Invention,
Employing an Inorganic Sulfide Cis-to-trans
Catalyst

A core according to the present invention was created employing an inorganic sulfide compound as the cis-to-trans conversion catalyst. The resultant core properties clearly demonstrate the advantages of a golf ball core made according to the current invention as compared to example cores constructed with conventional technology. The components and physical characteristics are presented in Table 4.

The compressive load is approximately half of the compressive load of three cores constructed in accordance with U.S. Pat. Nos. 5,697,856, 5,252,652, and 4,692,497, while at the same time retaining roughly the same, and in some cases, a higher COR (resilience). The core made according to the current invention is soft, yet resilient (fast). The compressive load is greater than a core constructed in accordance with U.S. Pat. No. 3,239,228, but has a significantly higher COR. The core of U.S. Pat. No. 3,239,228 is very soft and very slow (low COR).

50

55

60

65

The percent change in dynamic stiffness from 0° C. to -50° C. was also measured at both the edge and center of the cores. The dynamic stiffness at both the edge and the center of the core of the current invention varied only slightly, less than 125 percent, over the temperature range investigated. The core made according to U.S. Pat. No. 3,239,228 varied over 230 percent, whereas the cores made according to other conventional technology, had a dynamic stiffness that varied by greater than 130 percent, and typically by as much as 150 percent, over the same temperature range.

The percent of trans-conversion was also measured at both the center and edge of the core prepared according to the current invention, and for cores prepared according to the same four patents mentioned above, allowing a trans-gradient to be calculated. The core according to the current invention had a trans-gradient of about 45 percent from edge to center. Two of the cores prepared in accordance with U.S. Pat. Nos. 3,239,228 and 4,692,497 had a zero trans-gradient. A third core, prepared in accordance with U.S. Pat. No. 5,697,856, had only a slight trans-gradient, less than 18 percent from edge to center. A fourth core, prepared in accordance with U.S. Pat. No. 5,252,652, had a very large gradient, almost 65 percent, from edge to center.

US 6,818,705 B2

31

Example 3

A Core Prepared from According to the Invention,
Employing a Blend of Organosulfur and Inorganic
Sulfide Cis-to-trans Catalyst

A core according to the present invention was created employing a blend of organosulfur and inorganic sulfide compounds as the cis-to-trans conversion catalyst. The resultant core properties clearly demonstrate the advantages of a golf ball core made according to the current invention as compared to example cores constructed with conventional technology. The components and physical characteristics are presented in Table 4.

The compressive load is approximately half of the compressive load of three cores constructed in accordance with U.S. Pat. Nos. 5,697,856, 5,252,652, and 4,692,497, while at the same time retaining roughly the same, and in some cases a higher COR (resilience). The core made according to the current invention is soft, yet resilient (fast). The compressive load of the invention is greater than a fourth core constructed in accordance with U.S. Pat. No. 3,239,228, but has a significantly higher COR. The core constructed in accordance with U.S. Pat. No. 3,239,228 is very soft and very slow (low COR).

The percent change in dynamic stiffness from 0° C. to -50° C. was also measured at both the edge and center of the cores. The dynamic stiffness at both the edge and the center of the core of the current invention varied only slightly, less than 121 percent, over the temperature range investigated. The core made in accordance with U.S. Pat. No. 3,239,228 varied over 230 percent, whereas the cores made according to other conventional technology had a dynamic stiffness that varied by greater than 130 percent, and typically by as much as 150 percent, over the same temperature range.

The percent of trans-conversion was also measured at both the center and edge of the core prepared according to the current invention, and for cores prepared to the same four patents mentioned above, allowing a trans-gradient to be calculated. The core according to the current invention had a trans-gradient that about 44 percent from edge to center. For the core prepared according to the current invention, the pre- and post-cure trans-percentages was also measured to determine the effectiveness of that process. The percentage of polybutadiene converted to the trans-isomer ranged from almost 26 percent at the center to greater than 45 percent at the edge. Two of the cores prepared in accordance with U.S. Pat. Nos. 3,239,228 and 4,692,497 had a zero trans-gradient. A third core prepared in accordance with U.S. Pat. No. 5,697,856 had only a slight trans-gradient, less than 18 percent from edge to center. A fourth core, prepared in accordance with U.S. Pat. No. 5,252,652 had a very large gradient, almost 65 percent from edge to center.

Example 4

Comparison of a Conventional Dual Core Ball to
Dual Core Ball Prepared According to the
Invention

A dual core golf ball according to the present invention was created having a solid center, an intermediate layer surrounding the solid center, and a multilayer cover disposed concentrically around the intermediate layer. The components and physical characteristics are presented below in Table 5.

32

TABLE 5

Center Composition		Example 4: Dual Core	
5	CARIFLEX BR1220	100	
	zinc diacrylate	20	
	dicumyl peroxide	2.5	
	zinc oxide	39	
	DTDS	0.75	
	<u>Center Properties</u>		
10	% trans Precure	1.5	
	% trans Postcure	40	
	load in lbs required (10.8% deflection)	109	
	<u>Mantle Composition</u>		
15	CB23	80	
	zinc diacrylate	38	
	VAROX 231 XL	0.42	
	DBDB-60	0.15	
	zinc oxide	6	
	polyisoprene	20	
20	<u>Inner Cover Composition and Properties</u>		
	Na SURLYN	50	
	Li SURLYN	50	
	Shore D hardness	68	
	thickness	0.03 in	
25	<u>Outer Cover Composition and Properties</u>		
	MDI polyurethane		
	thickness	0.03 in	

A solid center was constructed for the ball of the present invention. The center was created from CARIFLEX BR-1220 polybutadiene as the starting material, the only difference being replacing the VAROX 802-40KE-HP peroxide (conventional technology) with a DTDS cis-to-trans catalyst of the current invention and dicumyl peroxide. This substitution allows a portion of the polybutadiene material to be converted to the trans-configuration during the molding process. The resulting solid center had an outside diameter of approximately 1.15 inches. The polybutadiene reaction product prepared thereby had a trans-isomer content of 40 percent compared to the 1.5 percent trans-isomer of conventional balls. An intermediate layer, having outside diameter of approximately 1.56 inches, was constructed around the solid center to form a core. The outer layer is made of CB23 having a molecular weight of about 360,000 and a Mooney viscosity of about 51.

Examples 5-8

Comparison of Conventional Golf Balls with Those
Prepared According to the Invention

A polybutadiene reaction product was prepared for two conventional prior art compositions (Examples 5-6) as well as one prepared according to the invention (Examples 7-8). The recipes for each composition can be seen in Table 6 below.

TABLE 6

	Example 5 Example 6 Example 7 Example 8			
	(phr)	(phr)	(phr)	(phr)
<u>Reaction Product</u>				
60	CARIFLEX BR1220	100	100	100
	zinc oxide	26.6	2.67	26.6
	barium sulfate	—	31	—
65	zinc diacrylate	20	22.3	20

US 6,818,705 B2

33

TABLE 6-continued

	Example 5 (phr)	Example 6 (phr)	Example 7 (phr)	Example 8 (phr)
dicumyl peroxide	2	—	2	2
VAROX 802 40KE-HP ^a	—	0.89	—	—
polymeric sulfur	0	0	0.25	0
elemental sulfur	0	0	0	0.25
pre-cure trans- polybutadiene content	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%
Golf Ball Core				
post-cure trans- polybutadiene content in reaction product	1.5%	1.5%	12%	12%
Atti Compression COR	53 n/a ^b	23 0.72	26 0.77	21 0.76

^aA di-(2-t-butylisopropylperoxy)-benzene peroxide commercially available from R. T. Vanderbilt of Norwalk, CT.

^bThe core of Example 5 was sufficiently rigid to crack during testing of the coefficient of restitution, indicating an undesirably low COR.

These constituents were mixed and molded, thereby converting a percentage of cis- to a trans-conformation, in a solid sphere sized like the core of a golf ball. Examples 7-8 illustrate the significant conversion of cis-polybutadiene to trans-polybutadiene when a sulfur cis-to-trans catalyst is present according to the invention compared to the lack of conversion in Examples 5-6 when no sulfur catalyst is present. Moreover, Examples 7-8 illustrate the improved coefficient of restitution with no significant change in compression that can be achieved with golf balls including the reaction product according to the invention.

The invention described and claimed herein is not to be limited in scope by the specific embodiments herein disclosed, since these embodiments are intended as illustrations of several aspects of the invention. Any equivalent embodiments are intended to be within the scope of this invention. Indeed, various modifications of the invention in addition to those shown and described herein will become apparent to those skilled in the art from the foregoing description. Such modifications are also intended to fall within the scope of the appended claims.

What is claimed is:

1. A golf ball comprising:

a center comprising a material formed from the conversion reaction of at least a cis-to-trans catalyst and a polybutadiene, wherein the material has a molecular weight of greater than about 200,000 and a resilience index of at least about 40;

an inner cover layer; and

an outer cover layer disposed about the inner cover layer comprising a polyurethane composition.

2. The golf ball of claim 1, wherein the inner cover layer comprises at least one of an ionomer resin, a polyurethane, a polyetherester, a polyetheramide, a polyester, a dynamically vulcanized elastomer, a functionalized styrenebutadiene elastomer, a metallocene polymer nylon, acrylonitrile butadiene-styrene copolymer, or blends thereof.

3. The golf ball of claim 1, wherein the resilience index of the material is at least about 50.

4. The golf ball of claim 1, wherein the cis-to-trans catalyst comprises at least one of an organosulfur compound, an inorganic sulfur compound, an aromatic organometallic compound, a metal-organosulfur compound, tellurium, selenium, elemental sulfur, a polymeric sulfur, or an aromatic organic compound.

5. The golf ball of claim 1, wherein the polyurethane composition comprises at least one isocyanate, at least one polyol, and at least one curing agent.

34

6. The golf ball of claim 1, wherein the outer cover layer has a thickness of about 0.02 inches to about 0.04 inches.

7. The golf ball of claim 1, wherein the inner cover layer has an outer diameter of about 1.55 inches or greater.

8. A golf ball comprising:

a center formed of a reaction product comprising polybutadiene and a cis-to-trans catalyst, wherein the reaction product has a molecular weight of greater than about 200,000, and wherein the reaction product comprises greater than about 32 percent trans-isomer;

an inner cover layer

an outer cover layer disposed around fire inner cover layer, wherein the outer cover layer comprises a castable reactive liquid material.

9. The golf ball of claim 8, wherein the inner cover layer comprises an ionomer resin, a polyurethane, a polyetherester, a polyetheramide, a polyester, a dynamically vulcanized elastomer, a functionalized styrenebutadiene elastomer, a metallocene polymer nylon, acrylonitrile butadiene-styrene copolymer, or blends thereof.

10. The golf ball of claim 8, wherein the inner cover layer comprises a copolymer of ethylene and an unsaturated monocarboxylic acid, wherein the monocarboxylic acid is at least partially neutralized.

11. The golf ball of claim 10, wherein the monocarboxylic acid is fully neutralized.

12. The golf ball of claim 8, wherein the outer cover layer has a thickness of about 0.02 inches to about 0.04 inches and the inner cover layer has an outer diameter of about 1.55 inches or greater.

13. The golf ball of claim 8, wherein the castable reactive material comprises a prepolymer having less than about 14 percent unreacted isocyanate groups, and wherein the prepolymer is cured with a polyol, polyamine, or a mixture thereof.

14. The golf ball of claim 8, wherein the cis-to-trans catalyst comprises at least one of an organosulfur compound, an inorganic sulfur compound, an aromatic organometallic compound, a metal-organosulfur compound, tellurium, selenium, elemental sulfur, a polymeric sulfur, or an aromatic organic compound.

15. The golf ball of claim 8, wherein the reaction product has a molecular weight of about 300,000 or greater.

16. A golf ball comprising:

a center comprising a material formed from the conversion reaction of at least a cis-to-trans catalyst and a polybutadiene, wherein the material has a molecular weight of greater than about 200,000 and a resilience index of at least about 40;

an inner cover layer; and

an outer cover layer disposed about the inner cover layer comprising a castable reactive liquid material.

17. The golf ball of claim 16, wherein the cis-to-trans catalyst comprises an organosulfur compound.

18. The golf ball of claim 17, wherein the cis-to-trans catalyst is present in an amount sufficient to result in the material comprising greater than 32 percent trans-polybutadiene isomer.

19. A golf ball of claim 18, wherein the cis-to-trans catalyst is present in an amount from about 0.1 to 5 parts per hundred based on the total material.

20. The golf ball of claim 16, wherein the resilience index is at least about 50.

21. The golf ball of claim 16, wherein the inner cover layer has a hardness of about 50 to about 75 Shore D.

22. The golf ball of claim 16, wherein the castable reactive liquid material has a material hardness of about 30 Shore D to about 60 Shore D.

* * * * *

EXHIBIT 2

4 of 17 DOCUMENTS

INLINE CONNECTION CORPORATION, BROADBAND TECHNOLOGY INNOVATIONS, LLC, AND PIE SQUARED, LLC, Plaintiffs, v. AOL TIME WARNER INCORPORATED, et al., Defendants. INLINE CONNECTION CORPORATION, BROADBAND TECHNOLOGY INNOVATIONS, LLC, AND PIE SQUARED, LLC, Plaintiffs, v. EARTHLINK, INC., Defendant.

C. A. No. 02-272-MPT, C. A. No. 02-477-MPT Consolidated Cases

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6207

January 29, 2007, Decided

January 29, 2007, Filed

SUBSEQUENT HISTORY: Patent interpreted by *Inline Connection Corp. v. AOL Time Warner, Inc.*, 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6209 (D. Del., Jan. 29, 2007)

PRIOR HISTORY: *Inline Connection Corp. v. AOL Time Warner, Inc.*, 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 4761 (D. Del., Jan. 23, 2007)

COUNSEL: [*1] For Inline Connection Corporation, Plaintiff: Julia Heaney, Thomas C. Grimm, LEAD ATTORNEYS, Morris, Nichols, Arsht & Tunnell, Wilmington, DE.

For Broadband Technology Innovations LLC, Pie Squared LLC, Plaintiffs: Julia Heaney, Morris, Nichols, Arsht & Tunnell, Wilmington, DE.

For America Online, Inc., Defendant: Frederick L. Cottrell, III, LEAD ATTORNEY, Chad Michael Shandler, Kelly E. Farnan, Richards, Layton & Finger, Wilmington, DE.

For EarthLink Inc., Defendant: Gary William Lipkin, LEAD ATTORNEY, Duane Morris LLP, Wilmington, DE.

For America Online, Inc., Counter Claimant: Frederick L. Cottrell, III, LEAD ATTORNEY, Richards, Layton & Finger, Wilmington, DE.

Broadband Technology Innovations LLC, Counter Defendant, Pro se.

Pie Squared LLC, Counter Defendant, Pro se.

JUDGES: Mary Pat Thyng, UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE.

OPINION BY: Mary Pat Thyng

OPINION:

MEMORANDUM ORDER

I. INTRODUCTION

This is a patent infringement case. Inline Communication Corporation n1 ("Inline") sued America Online Inc. ("AOL") on April 12, 2002, and EarthLink, Inc. ("EarthLink") on June 4, 2002, alleging infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,844,596 ("the '596 patent'"), 6,243,446 [*2] ("the '446 patent'"), and 6,236,718 ("the '718 patent'"). n2

n1 Inline initially sued AOL and Earthlink. Since the original filing of the complaints, other plaintiffs have been added because of their contractual relationships with Inline. For ease of reference, all plaintiffs shall be referred to as Inline.

n2 Inline's U.S. Patent No. 6,542,585 ("the '585 patent'") was subsequently added to the litigation after it was issued in 2003. The '718 patent is no longer at issue in the litigation.

Inline filed two separate motions n3 requesting that the court preclude defendants' invalidity expert, David L. Waring, from offering certain testimony at trial. Inline's motion under consideration is directed at Waring's April 18, 2006 Expert Report (the "April 18 Report") reciting his opinions of lack of enablement and obviousness. n4

2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6207, *

Inline contends that the opinions recited therein are the product of improper standards and unreliable methods. For the reasons discussed, Inline's motion will be granted in part and denied [*3] in part.

n3 Inline's second motion is directed at Waring's October 20, 2006 Supplemental Expert Report (the "Supplemental Report"), which plaintiffs argue should be excluded as untimely. The Supplemental Report was the subject of the court's January 8, 2007 memorandum opinion, D.I. 593.

n4 D.I. 524 (Motion to Exclude Certain Testimony Waring's Expert Report).

II. POSITIONS OF THE PARTIES

Plaintiffs contend that Waring's opinions on enablement and obviousness are unreliable and, therefore, will not assist the trier of fact and should be excluded under *Federal Rule of Evidence 702*.

Inline first argues that the enablement analysis recited in the April 18 Report is unreliable because it concerns only whether the patents enable *the accused infringing system*, not whether they enable the claimed invention as required under a proper enablement analysis. Inline concludes, therefore, that Waring's use of the purportedly incorrect legal standard renders his enablement [*4] testimony unreliable. Inline also argues that Waring ignored several secondary considerations in forming his obviousness opinions and, as a result, his opinion on obviousness is unreliable.

Defendants contend that Inline misstates the law of enablement and obviousness and should be denied. Defendants argue that the crux of Inline's argument on enablement is that Waring did not consider enablement of the claimed invention, but rather considered enablement of the accused product (ADSL) and, therefore, applied the wrong law, thereby rendering his methodology fatally flawed. Defendants maintain that Inline's argument is largely one of semantics and without merit. Accordingly to defendants, in determining what the "full scope" of the claims is, Waring merely assumed Inline's contention that ADSL falls within the scope of the claims. Defendants analyze that since ADSL is an end-to-end digital transmission system spanning potentially thousands of feet of telephone lines using frequencies up to 1 MHz and if Inline is correct that a system utilizing the public telephone network over a distance of several miles is within the scope of the claims, then it necessarily follows that such a system [*5] must be enabled by the specification of the patents-in-suit.

Defendants also state that Inline ignores the Federal Circuit's requirement that the patent specification must enable the full scope of the claims, and has expressly endorsed defendants' enablement analysis in *Plant Genetic Sys. v. DeKalb Genetics Corp.* n5 Defendants emphasize the Federal Circuit's comment that "PSG [the patent holder] concedes that the cell claims cover monocot cells. Only by doing so can PSG sue DeKalb, which makes monocot products, for infringement." Defendants note because the patent at issue in *Plant Genetic* did not enable monocot cells -- *i.e.*, the element accused of infringing the claims -- the Federal Circuit held that the patent was not enabled. Consistent with *Plant Genetic*, defendants contend that Waring evaluated the common specification and determined that it does not teach how to transmit digital signals over several miles over the public telephone network and thus, the specification does not enable the broad patent claims asserted here, rendering them invalid.

n5 315 F.3d 1335, 1341 (*Fed. Cir. 2003*).

[*6]

With regard to Waring's opinion on obviousness, defendants maintain that Inline misapprehends the role of secondary considerations of non-obviousness in the analysis of whether a patent claim is invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 103. They contend that Inline asserts, without support, that experts in patent cases are *required* to provide detailed analysis of every single factor ever identified by the Federal Circuit as potentially pertinent to the obviousness inquiry. n6 Since secondary considerations are a means for a *patentee* to rebut a *prima facie* showing of obviousness, defendants argue that they do not bear the burden of showing the absence of such factors, and Waring had no obligation to analyze any secondary considerations of non-obviousness in setting forth his *prima facie* case of invalidity. Defendants maintain that Waring actually gave more thought to secondary considerations than Inline's own validity expert, (including the failure of others to solve the problem addressed by the patents and long-felt need to do so), and concluded that they cannot overcome defendants' *prima facie* showing of obviousness. Defendants conclude that Waring's expert opinions [*7] on enablement and obviousness are, thus, firmly grounded on correct legal standards and Inline's motion should be denied.

n6 See *DyStar Textilfarben GmbH & Co. Deutschland KG v. C.H. Patrick Co.*, 464 F.3d 1356, 1360 (*Fed. Cir. 2006*) (citing *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 17, 86 S. Ct. 684, 15 L. Ed. 2d 545 (1996)) (determination of obviousness depends upon, among other things relevant

2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6207, *

secondary considerations, including commercial success, long felt but unsolved needs, and failure of others).

Inline responds that defendants concede that Waring did not evaluate whether the patent enabled the claimed invention and that Waring's opinion was limited to whether the patent enabled the accused system. Inline contends that, according to defendants' logic, if the accused system infringes the patents-in-suit, then the accused system must define the full scope of the claimed system, and therefore the specification must enable the accused system. According to Inline, the flaw in this logic is that the accused [*8] system--infringing or not--does *not* define the full scope of the claimed system. Rather, the full scope of the claimed system is defined by the claim terms as construed by the courts. Thus, a proper enablement analysis consists of comparing the claims as construed to the specification to see if they are enabled, but Waring did not do this.

Regarding obviousness, Inline argues that there is no case law which allows an expert to ignore secondary considerations. Inline contends that the Federal Circuit has clearly stated that "evidence of secondary considerations may often be *the most probative and cogent evidence* in the record. It may often establish that an invention appearing to have been obvious in light of the prior art was not." n7

n7 *Stratoflex, Inc. v. Aeroquip Corp.*, 713 F.2d 1530, 1538 (Fed. Cir. 1983) (emphasis added).

Inline also cites the requirement of *Rule 702* that expert opinions be the product of reliable principles and methods that have been applied reliably to [*9] the facts of the case. Inline concludes in light of the importance that the Federal Circuit places on secondary considerations, they constitute well-known principles that other experts would consider when rendering an invalidity opinion, and thus, the failure of Waring to consider such principles or use methods typically considered or used by other experts (that is, by ignoring evidence of secondary considerations that Inline claims to exist) renders his opinion unreliable. n8

n8 See, e.g., *In re TMI Litigation*, 193 F.3d 613, 669 (3d Cir. 1993).

Inline also relies on *Federal Rule of Civil Procedure* ("FRCP") 26(a)(2)(B). Inline claims that Waring's report fails to meet this rule because as an expert, Waring must

set forth "a complete statement of all opinions to be expressed and the basis therefore," including "data or other information considered by the witness in forming the opinions. . . ." Because Waring does not address all secondary considerations, [*10] Inline argues that Waring's analysis is incomplete and thus, unreliable.

III. DISCUSSION

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(B) requires an expert report to "contain a complete statement of all opinions to be expressed and the basis and reasons therefore." *Rule 26(a)(2)(C)* also states that "[t]he parties shall supplement these disclosures when required under *subdivision (e)(1)*." *Rule 26(e)(1)* provides that "a party is under a duty to supplement. . . its disclosures under *subdivision (a)*" when the information previously disclosed is incomplete or incorrect and the additional or corrective information has not been provided to the other parties during the discovery process. Further, in the case of an expert who is required to provide a report pursuant to 26(a)(2)(B), the obligation to supplement extends to the information contained in the report and through deposition of the expert.

The determination of whether to exclude evidence is committed to the court's discretion. The Third Circuit has noted, however, that:

While evidentiary ruling are generally subject to a particularly high level of deference because the trial court [*11] has a superior vantage point to assess the evidence . . . , evaluating the reliability of scientific methodologies and data does not generally involve assessing the truthfulness of the expert witnesses and thus is often not significantly more difficult on a cold record. Moreover, here there are factors that counsel in favor of a hard look at (more stringent review of) the district court's exercise of discretion. For example, because the reliability standards of *Rules 702* and *703* is somewhat amorphous, there is a significant risk that district judges will set the threshold too high and will in fact force plaintiffs to prove their case twice. Reducing this risk is particularly important because the Federal Rules of Evidence display a preference for admissibility.

The Third Circuit also noted that "'the exclusion of critical evidence is an 'extreme' sanction, not normally to be imposed absent a showing of willful deception or 'flagrant disregard' of a court order by the proponent of the

2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6207, *

evidence," n9 and identified several factors for the court to consider in deciding whether to exclude testimony:

- (1) the prejudice or surprise in fact of the party against whom the excluded [*12] witnesses would have testified, (2) the ability of that party to cure the prejudice, (3) the extent to which waiver of the rule against calling unlisted witnesses would disrupt the orderly and efficient trial of the case or of other cases in the court, and (4) bad faith or willfulness in failing to comply with the district court's order. n10

The Third Circuit clearly emphasized that "the importance of the excluded testimony" should be considered. n11

n9 *Paoli*, 35 F.3d at 791-92 (quoting *Meyers v. Pennypack Woods Home Ownership Ass'n*, 559 F.2d 894, 905 (3d Cir. 1977)).

n10 *Paoli*, 35 F.3d at 791.

n11 *Konstantopoulos v. Westvaco Corp.*, 112 F.3d 710, 719 (3d Cir. 1997) (quoting *Meyers v. Pennypack Woods Home Ownership Ass'n*, 559 F.2d 894, 904 (3d Cir. 1977)).

None of the cases cited by defendants hold that the patent must enable the accused product, nor that the accused product is what defines the full scope of [*13] the invention and defendants' reliance on *Plant Genetic* for this proposition is misplaced. The patent in that case taught a genetically engineered plant cell that could prevent herbicides from blocking the function of glutamine synthetase. The parties had stipulated for construction purposes that the scope of certain claims was construed to cover all plant cells, both "monocot" plants and "dicot" plants. Thus "whether the cell claims of the '236 patent, which are agreed by the parties literally to cover all plant cells [monocots and dicots], were enabled for monocots on March 11, 1987" was the issue. n12 In other words, the district court looked at the claim terms as construed and evaluated whether the specification enabled it -- just as the law requires. n13 That the claim had to read onto monocots in order to sustain the plaintiff's infringement claim was an interesting side story, but, contrary to defendants' assertion, did not factor into the court's enablement decision. n14 Thus, *Plant Genetic* actually undermines defendants' argument.

n12 *Plant Genetic*, 315 F.3d at 1338.

[*14]

n13 *Id.* at 1338, 1341.

n14 *Id.*

By requiring that the patent enable an end-to-end ADSL system, defendants ignore the rule that the specification "need not enable anything broader than the scope of the claims." n15 This protects patentees from having someone avoid infringement merely by adding one additional element to an otherwise infringing product. n16 This distinction is particularly important in the present matter because the accused system contains features that are not part of the claimed system, but which Waring contends must be enabled by the specification. For example, according to Waring, "ADSL is designed to operate over distances of up to approximately three miles [approx. 18,000 feet] and do so without any additional amplification mid-way along the transmission path." He concludes that 1,000 feet is the farthest distance discussed in the patent specifications, and therefore, the patents do not enable one of ordinary skill in the art to make an ADSL system which operates distances of 18,000 feet. Inline's expert, Jackson explains that the patents need not [*15] enable a distance of 18,000 feet let alone an entire ADSL system: "the patents do not teach television or ADSL or Ethernet. Rather, they teach a system that allows one to transmit television or ADSL or Ethernet or yet-uninvented signals on telephone wiring without interference to the telephone service on that wiring. . . . But, someone provisioning ADSL can elect to use the invention of the patents in suit in order to gain the efficiencies that the invention delivers."

n15 See *Neutrino Dev. Corp. v. Sonosite, Inc.*, 410 F. Supp. 2d 529, 542 (S.D. Tex. 2006).

n16 See *N. Telecom, Inc. v. Datapoint Corp.*, 908 F.2d 931 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Thus, while defendants' ADSL service allegedly uses the claimed system to infringe, that does not mean that the patent specification must enable the ADSL service as opposed to merely the claimed system. n17

n17 See *SuperGuide Crop. v. DirecTV Enters., Inc.*, 358 F.3d 870, 880 (Fed. Cir. 2004) (to

2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6207, *

satisfy enablement, the specification need not describe every conceivable embodiment, and the claimed invention need not be perfect in operation).

[*16]

At no time did Waring evaluate whether the patents would enable one of skill in the art to make or use the *claimed invention* without undue experimentation. Indeed, Waring contends--incorrectly--that the claimed invention involves only the transmission of analog video signals over existing telephone wiring. Yet, he never evaluated whether the patents would have enabled one to practice *that* invention. Because Waring did not conduct a proper enablement analysis, his opinion is not reliable and is not admissible on enablement. n18 As a result, Waring's opinion and testimony regarding enablement is excluded.

n18 See *In re Paoli R.R. Yard PCB Litig.*, 35 F.3d 717, 746 (3d Cir. 1994).

Inline's argument, however, on obviousness is completely contrary to clear Federal Circuit law stating that secondary considerations are a means for a *patentee* (i.e., Inline) to *rebut* a *prima facie* showing of obviousness by a defendant. n19 Further, the case law cited by Inline does not examine the issue [*17] of secondary considerations with regard to expert opinions on obviousness. Rather, the case law cited by Inline shows that the trial court as the *fact finder* is obligated to consider evidence of nonobviousness when reaching its conclusion on obviousness. n20 A defendant does not bear the burden of showing the absence of such factors. Moreover, as evidenced by the cases cited by the defendants, those factors need not be considered at all if they are not relevant. Inline's assertion that the Federal Circuit has identified 10 factors that an expert must consider is misplaced. Whether Waring adequately addressed or failed to address relevant secondary considerations goes to weight, not reliability under *FRE* 702.

N19 See *Alza Corp. v. Mylan Labs., Inc.*, 464 F.3d 1286, 1293 (Fed. Cir. 2006) (secondary considerations are available for a *patentee* to use in rebutting a *prima facie* case of obviousness.; *Syntex (U.S.A.) LLC v. Apotex, Inc.*, 407 F.3d 1371, 1383 (Fed. Cir. 2005) ("[T]he secondary consideration[s] . . . exist[] largely to provide a means for *patentees* to show in close cases that

subject matter that appears obvious is in law unobvious . . .") (emphasis added).

[*18]

n20 See *Ruiz v. AB Chance Co.*, 234 F.3d 654, 667 (Fed. Cir. 2000) (holding that the district court erred in failing to consider or discuss evidence of secondary considerations; citing precedent that where secondary considerations are present, they must be considered); *Ashland Oil Inc. v. Delta Resins and Refractories Inc.*, 776 F.2d 281, 306 (Fed. Cir. 1985) (finding that it was legal error for a district court to fail to consider relevant evidence of secondary considerations); cf. *Brown & Williamson v. Philip Morris*, 229 F.3d 1120, 1131 (Fed. Cir. 2000) (where the failure of the district court to cite to secondary considerations alone is not reversible error; thus, although the court did not consider certain objective evidence of nonobviousness, such error was harmless because the patentee could not overcome strong evidence of nonobviousness); *Simmons Fastener Corp. v. Illinois Tool Works, Inc.*, 739 F.2d 1573, 1575 (Fed. Cir. 1984) ("Trial court's error lies in its exclusion of such evidence in arriving at a conclusion on the obviousness of the claimed invention.").

[*19]

Inline's argument regarding the application of *FRCP* 26(a)(2)(B) as an element of an expert's qualification misinterprets and misapplies the rule. As noted in the *Advisory Committee Notes*, n21 the goal of the 1993 changes was to have the expert reports set forth the "substance of *direct* examination," written so that the reports "reflect the testimony to be given by the witness." Nothing in *Rule 26* suggests that expert testimony be excluded based on the reliability of the conclusions of the expert.

n21 *FRCP 26(a)(2)(B) Advisory Committee Notes*, 1993.

As a result, Inline's motion to exclude Waring's opinion of obviousness is denied. Inline's motion to exclude Waring's opinion of enablement is granted.

January 29, 2007

Mary Pat Thyng

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

EXHIBIT 3

**THIS EXHIBIT HAS BEEN
REDACTED IN ITS ENTIRETY**

EXHIBIT 4

**THIS EXHIBIT HAS BEEN
REDACTED IN ITS ENTIRETY**

EXHIBIT 5

**THIS EXHIBIT HAS BEEN
REDACTED IN ITS ENTIRETY**